

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003

Commission File Numbers: 333-57285-01
333-57285

Mediacom LLC
Mediacom Capital Corporation*

(Exact names of Registrants as specified in their charters)

New York
New York
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

06-1433421
06-1513997
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Numbers)*

100 Crystal Run Road
Middletown, New York 10941
(Address of principal executive offices)

(845) 695-2600
(Registrants' telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:
None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:
None

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrants' knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K: Not Applicable.

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrants are accelerated filers (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

State the aggregate market value of the common equity held by non-affiliates of the Registrants: Not Applicable

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of the Registrants' common stock: Not Applicable

*Mediacom Capital Corporation meets the conditions set forth in General Instruction I (1) (a) and (b) of Form 10-K and is therefore filing this form with the reduced disclosure format.

MEDIACOM LLC
2003 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	26
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	26
	<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	27
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	28
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	31
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	48
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	49
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	68
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	68
	<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrants</u>	69
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	72
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	72
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	72
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	73
	<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedule and Reports on Form 8-K</u>	74
	<u>EX-14.1: CODE OF ETHICS</u>	
	<u>EX-23.1: CONSENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP</u>	
	<u>EX-31.1: CERTIFICATIONS</u>	
	<u>EX-31.2: CERTIFICATIONS</u>	
	<u>EX-32.1: CERTIFICATIONS</u>	
	<u>EX-32.2: CERTIFICATIONS</u>	

[Table of Contents](#)

Mediacom LLC was organized as a New York limited liability company in 1995 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mediacom Communications Corporation, a Delaware Corporation. Mediacom Capital Corporation was organized as a New York corporation in 1998 and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mediacom LLC. Mediacom Capital was formed for the sole purpose of acting as co-issuer with Mediacom LLC of debt securities and does not conduct operations of its own.

References in this Annual Report to “we,” “us,” or “our” are to Mediacom LLC and its direct and indirect subsidiaries, unless the context specifies or requires otherwise. References in this Annual Report to “MCC” are to Mediacom Communications Corporation.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

You should carefully review the information contained in this Annual Report and in other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). In this Annual Report, we state our beliefs of future events and of our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify those so-called “forward-looking statements” by words such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expects,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential,” or “continue” or the negative of those words and other comparable words. You should be aware that those statements are only our predictions. Actual events or results may differ materially. In evaluating those statements, you should specifically consider various factors, including the risks discussed in this Annual Report and other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the SEC. Those factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from any of our forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or a person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Our Manager

Mediacom Communications Corporation, our parent and manager, is currently the nation's eighth largest cable television company based on customers served and the leading cable operator focused on serving the smaller cities and towns in the United States. Mediacom Communications provides its customers with a wide array of broadband products and services, including traditional analog video services, digital television, video-on-demand, high-definition television and high-speed Internet access. As of December 31, 2003, our manager's cable systems, which are owned and operated through the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Broadband LLC, passed approximately 2.76 million homes and served approximately 1.54 million basic subscribers in 23 states. A basic subscriber is a customer that subscribes to a package of basic cable television services. Our manager was founded in July 1995 by Rocco B. Comisso, its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and its Class A common stock is traded on The Nasdaq National Market under the symbol MCCC.

Mediacom LLC

We are a wholly-owned subsidiary of our manager. As of December 31, 2003, our cable systems passed approximately 1.3 million homes and served approximately 723,700 basic subscribers in 22 states. Since commencement of our operations in March 1996, we have experienced significant growth by deploying a disciplined strategy of acquiring underperforming cable systems and improving their operating and financial performance. As of December 31, 2003, we had completed 24 acquisitions of cable systems for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.3 billion. Many of our cable systems are located in markets that are contiguous with, or in close proximity to, cable systems owned and operated by Mediacom Broadband LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of our manager.

Business Strategy

We believe that our high-speed, interactive broadband network is the superior platform for the delivery of advanced video, data and voice products and services. Our strategy is to use the capabilities of our broadband network to expand the products and services we offer our subscribers, attract new customers and diversify our revenue streams. We believe that the investment in our broadband network and facilities, together with our attractive bundled products and services, reliable customer service and local community presence will enable us to execute our strategy and compete effectively in our markets.

Technology

In 2003, we completed our network upgrade program that significantly increased bandwidth and enabled interactivity. As of December 31, 2003, approximately 98% of our cable network was upgraded with 550MHz to 870MHz bandwidth capacity and about 96% of our homes passed were activated with two-way communications capability. Expressed in megahertz, or MHz, bandwidth represents a system's capacity to deliver telecommunications services.

Our upgrade program increased our cable systems' network capacity and reliability, and the quality of the service that they deliver. This has allowed us to introduce additional video programming and other products and services, including digital video, video-on-demand, or VOD, high-definition television, or HDTV, digital video recorders, or DVRs, and high-speed Internet access or cable modem service. In addition, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2004, we plan to introduce in certain markets Internet protocol telephony service, which is sometimes referred to as Voice-over-Internet-Protocol, or VoIP, telephony.

[Table of Contents](#)

Bundling

We plan to leverage the capacity and flexibility of our upgraded broadband network, as we increasingly emphasize our offerings of bundled products and services. A bundled customer is one who subscribes to two or more of our primary services. Bundled products and services offer our subscribers key benefits such as a single provider contact for ordering, provisioning, billing and customer care. We currently offer video and data bundles, and when we introduce telephony we will be able to offer a triple-play bundle of video, data and voice.

The availability of our multiple products and services in most of our footprint has allowed us to offer bundled service to most of our subscribers. As of December 31, 2003, our digital cable services were available to 99% of our basic subscribers, and we served 151,400 digital customers. Our VOD and HDTV services were available to approximately 30% and approximately 50% of our digital customers, respectively. In addition, our high-speed Internet access was marketed to approximately 96% of the homes passed by our cable systems, and we served approximately 122,200 data customers.

Customer Service

Attaining higher levels of customer satisfaction is critical to our success in the increasingly competitive environment we face today. We continue to invest in our customer care personnel and call center technology to improve our capabilities in customer service and have developed and attained internal customer service standards that meet or exceed those standards established by the National Cable and Telecommunications Association. In 2003, we invested in virtual contact technology across our call centers and raised our level of customer service and improved the productivity of our call center personnel.

Community Presence

When our company commenced operations in 1996, one of our key objectives was to bridge the “digital divide” that had developed between the smaller cities and towns and the large urban markets in the United States. Over the past several years we have made significant investments in our cable systems, and as a result, substantially all of the homes in our markets now have access to the latest in broadband products and services.

We continue our efforts to build good relationships with the communities we serve by participating in a wide range of local educational and community service initiatives. Our major company-wide programs include the “Mediacom Cable in the Classroom” program which provides more than 1,476 schools with free cable service and, where available, high-speed Internet access. We also provide free cable service to government buildings, libraries, and not-for-profit hospitals in our franchise areas. We also develop and offer unique local programming in our communities.

Corporate Address and Website

Our manager’s principal executive offices are located at 100 Crystal Run Road, Middletown, New York 10941, and our manager’s telephone number at that address is (845) 695-2600. Our manager’s website is located at www.mediacomcc.com. We have made available free of charge through our manager’s website (follow the Corporate Info link to the Investor Relations tab to “Annual Reports/SEC Filings”) our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after such material was electronically filed with, or furnished to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our manager’s website is not part of this Annual Report.

Products and Services

Through our one operating segment, broadband services, we offer our customers an array of traditional analog video services, also referred to as our core cable television services. In addition, we offer to a significant portion of our customer base advanced broadband products and services, including digital cable television, VOD, HDTV and high-speed Internet access. We introduced DVRs in March 2004 and plan to launch VoIP telephony service in certain cable systems during the fourth quarter of 2004.

Traditional Analog Video Services

We receive the majority of our revenues from subscription services. Subscribers typically pay us on a monthly basis and generally may discontinue services at any time. Monthly subscription rates and related charges vary according to the type of service selected and the type of equipment used by subscribers.

We design both our basic channel line-up and our additional channel offerings for each system according to demographics, programming preferences, channel capacity, competition, price sensitivity and local regulation. Our core cable television service offerings are presented in an analog format and include the following most of our cable systems:

Limited Basic Service. Our limited basic service includes, for a monthly fee, local broadcast channels, network and independent stations, limited satellite-delivered programming, and local public, government, home-shopping and leased access channels.

Expanded Basic Service. Our expanded basic service includes, for an additional monthly fee, various satellite-delivered channels such as CNN, MTV, USA Network, ESPN, Lifetime, Nickelodeon and TNT.

Premium Service. Our premium services are satellite-delivered channels consisting principally of feature films, original programming, live sports events, concerts and other special entertainment features, usually presented without commercial interruption. These services include HBO, Cinemax, Showtime, The Movie Channel and Starz/Encore. Such premium programming services are offered by our systems both on a per-channel basis and as part of premium service packages designed to enhance customer value.

Pay-Per-View Service. Our pay-per-view services allow customers to pay to view a single showing of a feature film, live sporting event, concert and other special event, on an unedited, commercial-free basis. Such pay-per-view services are offered by us on a per-viewing basis, with subscribers only paying for programs which they select for viewing.

Digital Services

Digital Cable. Digital video technology has significantly enhanced and expanded the video and other service offerings we provide to our customers. This technology has enabled us to improve picture quality and reliability, and to greatly increase our channel offerings through the use of compression, which converts one analog channel into eight to 12 digital channels.

Customers who subscribe to our digital cable offerings receive up to 218 digital channels in many of our systems. We currently offer our customers several digital cable programming packages that include digital basic channels, multichannel premium services, pay-per-view movie and sports channels, channels of digital music, and an interactive on-screen program guide.

Subscribers typically pay us on a monthly basis for digital cable service and generally may discontinue services at any time. A digital converter is required to receive our digital cable service. Monthly rates vary generally according to the level of service and the number of digital converters selected by the subscriber. As of December 31, 2003, our digital service was available to approximately 99% of our basic subscribers and we served 151,400 digital customers.

We have also introduced the following new digital video services to bolster our competitive position and general additional video revenues.

Video-On-Demand. Video-on-demand is an interactive television service that provides access to movies, special events or general interest titles on demand with the ability to pause, rewind and fast forward selected programming. Customers can watch their selected feature repeatedly during the viewing window, which typically runs up to 24 hours, or stop the selection before it is completed and return to it at a later time during the viewing window. Fees are typically charged on a per-selection basis, although certain on-demand programming services are available free of charge (such as Mag Rack), or for a flat monthly fee (such as premium services), also known as subscription-based

Table of Contents

video-on-demand. We currently offer VOD service to approximately 30% of our digital customers. During 2004, we plan to make VOD service available in additional cable systems representing another 20% of our digital customers and increase the amount of content available to our customers via this service.

High-Definition Television. High-definition television signals have twice the color resolution and six times the picture sharpness of standard television signals. A television set capable of receiving and displaying high-definition signals is required to utilize this service. Our HDTV service requires the use of an advanced digital converter for which we charge an additional monthly fee. This service typically includes high-definition signals for certain premium programming networks and local broadcast stations. We currently offer HDTV service in markets serving approximately 50% of our digital customers. During 2004, we plan to make HDTV service available in additional cable systems representing another 20% of our digital customers and to increase the amount of HDTV content available to our customers.

Digital Video Recorders. A digital video recorder, or DVR, has the ability to store programs on a hard disk drive contained in an advanced digital converter. DVRs provide consumers with the ability to view recorded programming at will, and to pause and rewind "live" broadcasts. We began deploying digital video recorders in certain of our cable systems in March 2004 and plan to expand to additional markets during the balance of the year.

High-Speed Internet Access

Our broadband cable network enables our high-speed Internet customers, also referred to as cable modem customers, to transmit data up to 100 times faster than traditional telephone modem technologies. Our cable modem customers can receive and transmit large files over the Internet in a fraction of the time required when using the traditional telephone modem. Our high-speed Internet access service also allows much quicker response times when surfing the Internet, providing a richer experience for the customer that capitalizes on the significant capacity of our broadband cable network. In addition, cable modem service eliminates the need to use a telephone line to access the Internet. It is also always activated and as a result, the customer does not need to dial into an Internet service provider and await authorization. Monthly subscription rates and related charges vary according to whether the customer leases or owns the cable modem and whether the customer subscribes to our video services. As of December 31, 2003, our cable modem service was marketed to about 96% of our homes passed, and we served approximately 122,200 data customers.

Commercial Data Services

During 2003, we began providing commercial high-speed Internet access services to small and medium-sized businesses. This commercial cable modem service allows businesses with multiple users to select from various service options to suit their needs.

We are currently rolling out on a broader scale advanced customized data services to large commercial customers. We have the flexibility to provide enterprise network services to these customers because as part of our network upgrade and headend consolidation programs we deployed over 6,000 route miles of fiber optic cable and created large high-capacity regional networks with excess capacity. These services include virtual private networks, wide area networks and point-to-point data communication.

Telecommunications Services

We are in active development of a VoIP platform, from which we plan to launch voice services in certain cable systems in the fourth quarter of 2004. VoIP technology converts voice signals into data packets that are transmitted over the Internet and then reconverted into voice signals at the destination. VoIP technology is an alternative to traditional phone networks that use dedicated circuits for each call. We believe the ability to offer customers a bundle of video, voice and data services from a single provider using its own network will be unique in nearly all of our markets.

Advertising

We generate revenues from the sale of local advertising on satellite-delivered channels such as CNN, MTV, USA Network, ESPN, Lifetime, Nickelodeon and TNT. We have an advertising sales infrastructure that includes in-house

[Table of Contents](#)

production facilities, production and administrative employees and a locally based sales workforce. We are extending our advertising infrastructure to our cable systems that have third-party advertising agreements that are expiring. In addition, the increasing concentration of customers served by our headend facilities as a result of our headend consolidation program has also positioned us to increase our advertising sales.

Description of Our Cable Systems

Overview

The following table provides an overview of selected and cable network data for our cable systems for the years ended:

	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
Operating Data:					
Core Video					
Homes passed ⁽¹⁾	1,282,500	1,252,000	1,200,000	1,173,000	1,071,500
Basic subscribers ⁽²⁾	723,700	752,000	771,000	779,000	719,000
Basic penetration ⁽³⁾	56.4%	60.1%	64.3%	66.4%	67.1%
Digital Cable					
Digital-ready basic subscribers ⁽⁴⁾	719,000	702,000	600,000	400,000	168,000
Digital customers ⁽⁵⁾	151,400	133,000	88,000	40,000	5,300
Digital penetration ⁽⁶⁾	21.1%	18.9%	14.7%	10.0%	3.2%
Data					
Data-ready homes passed ⁽⁷⁾	1,230,000	1,190,000	965,000	550,000	120,000
Data-ready homes marketed ⁽⁸⁾	1,225,000	1,100,000	610,000	486,000	105,500
Data customers ⁽⁹⁾	122,200	81,000	38,000	15,600	5,100
Data penetration ⁽¹⁰⁾	10.0%	7.4%	6.2%	3.2%	4.8%
Revenue Generating Units⁽¹¹⁾	997,300	966,000	897,000	834,600	729,400
Customer Relationships⁽¹²⁾	735,400	760,000	774,000	N/A	N/A
Cable Network Data:					
Miles of plant	26,150	25,500	25,000	24,500	22,444
Density ⁽¹³⁾	49	49	48	48	48
Percentage of cable network at 550MHz to 870MHz	98%	98%	90%	74%	57%

- (1) Represents the estimated number of single residence homes, apartments and condominium units passed by the cable distribution network in a cable system's service area.
- (2) Represents a dwelling with one or more television sets that receives a package of over-the-air broadcast stations, local access channels or certain satellite-delivered cable television services. Accounts that are billed on a bulk basis, which typically receive discounted rates, are converted into full-price equivalent basic subscribers by dividing total bulk billed basic revenues of a particular system by the most prevalent combined limited and expanded cable rate charged to basic subscribers in that system. Basic subscribers include connections to schools, libraries, local government offices and employee households that may not be charged for limited and expanded cable services, but may be charged for premium service, pay-per-view events or high-speed Internet service. Customers who exclusively purchase high-speed Internet service are not counted as basic subscribers. Our methodology of calculating the number of basic subscribers may not be identical to those used by other cable companies.
- (3) Represents basic subscribers as a percentage of homes passed.
- (4) A subscriber is digital-ready if the subscriber is in a cable system where digital cable services are available.
- (5) Represents customers that received digital cable services.
- (6) Represents digital customers as a percentage of digital-ready basic subscribers.
- (7) A home passed is data-ready if it is in a cable system with two-way communications capability.
- (8) Represents data-ready homes passed where cable modem service is available.

Table of Contents

- (9) Represents residential data customers and small to medium-sized commercial accounts billed at higher rates than residential customers. Small to medium-sized commercial accounts generally represent customers with bandwidth requirements less than 5MHz. These commercial accounts are converted to equivalent residential data customers by dividing their associated revenues by the applicable residential rate. Our data customers excludes large commercial accounts and include a insignificant number of dial-up customers. Our methodology of calculating data customers may not be identical to those used by other cable companies.
- (10) Represents the number of total data customers as a percentage of data-ready homes marketed.
- (11) Represents the sum of basic subscribers, digital customers and data customers.
- (12) Represents the total number of customers that receive at least one level of service, encompassing video and data services, without regard to which service(s) customers purchase. This information is not available for periods prior to 2001.
- (13) Represents homes passed divided by miles of plant.

Technology Overview

We believe in investing in advanced technology to improve our competitive position. Since our inception we have made significant investments in our cable network upgrade program to allow us to introduce new and enhanced products and services to our customers. We completed our planned upgrade program during 2003. As a result, we have transformed legacy cable plant into a state-of-the-art broadband network. The primary features of our upgraded broadband network are:

- hybrid fiber-optic coaxial architecture;
- 98% of bandwidth capacity is 550MHz to 870MHz;
- 96% of homes passed have two-way communications capability; and
- the ability to provide advanced broadband services across virtually our entire footprint.

A central feature of our cable network is the deployment of high capacity, hybrid fiber-optic coaxial architecture. The hybrid fiber-optic coaxial architecture combines the use of fiber optic cable, which can carry hundreds of video, data and voice channels over extended distances, with coaxial cable, which requires more extensive signal amplification in order to obtain the desired levels for delivering channels.

Our upgraded network design connects fiber optic cable to individual nodes serving an average of 350 homes or commercial buildings. A node is a single connection to a cable system's main, high-capacity fiber optic cable that is shared by a number of customers. Coaxial cable is then connected from each node to the individual homes or buildings. Our network design generally provides for six strands of fiber to each node, with two strands active and four strands reserved for future services. Our design also allows for the use of advanced technology such as node-splitting, digital compression and multi-plexing to increase capacity.

The use of fiber optic technology in our cable network improves picture quality and network reliability. It also gives our systems the flexibility to run multiple separate channel line-ups from a single headend and transmit advertisements to specific local communities.

The following table describes the technological state of our cable network as of December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003 and displays the progress we made during these periods with our network upgrade program.

	Percentage of Cable Network				Two-Way Capable
	Less than 550MHz	Standard 550MHz	Enhanced 550MHz	Greater Than 550MHz	
December 31, 2001	10%	31%	—	59%	80%
December 31, 2002	2%	33%	—	65%	95%
December 31, 2003	2%	11%	20%	67%	96%

As of December 31, 2003, our 550MHz systems consisted of standard 550MHz and enhanced 550MHz systems. We have used fiber-to-the-node construction to increase the capacity of our enhanced 550MHz cable systems,

[Table of Contents](#)

enabling them to deliver the same broadband video, data and voice services as our systems with bandwidths greater than 550MHz. As a result, we have the ability to provide digital cable television, VOD, HDTV, and high-speed Internet access and other telecommunications services across virtually our entire footprint.

Two-way communications capability permits our customers to send and receive signals over the cable network so that interactive services, such as VOD, are accessible and high-speed Internet access does not require a separate telephone line. Our two-way communications capability, together with hybrid fiber-optic coaxial architecture, enhances our cable network's ability to provide advanced telecommunications services, such as the VoIP telephony service.

A headend facility is the location where signals are received and processed for distribution over a cable system. As of December 31, 2003, our cable systems were operated from 112 headend facilities. Fiber optics and advanced transmission technologies make it cost effective to consolidate our headend facilities, allowing us to realize operating efficiencies and resulting in lower fixed capital costs on a per home basis as we introduce new products and services.

As part of our headend consolidation program, we deployed over 6,000 route miles of fiber optic cable, creating large regional fiber optic networks with the potential to provide advanced telecommunications services. These regional networks have excess fiber optic capacity to accommodate new and expanded products and services, such as our commercial data business.

With our upgrade from the traditional coaxial network design to hybrid fiber-optic coaxial architecture, our broadband network now provides higher capacity, superior signal quality, greater network reliability, reduced operating costs and more reserve capacity for the addition of future services. We also believe that the combination of reserve fiber strands and continued advancements in bandwidth management techniques such as compression and multiplexing technology will preclude the need for additional widespread cable network upgrades in the foreseeable future.

Programming Supply

We have various contracts to obtain basic and premium programming for our cable systems from program suppliers whose compensation is typically based on a fixed monthly fee per customer. Our programming contracts are generally for a fixed period of time.

We are a member of the National Cable Television Cooperative, Inc., a programming cooperative primarily consisting of small to medium-sized multiple system operators serving, in the aggregate, over 14 million cable subscribers. The cooperative may help create efficiencies in the areas of obtaining and administering programming contracts, as well as securing, in some cases, more favorable programming rates and contract terms for small to medium-sized cable operators. Our manager negotiates programming contract renewals both directly and through the cooperative. From time to time, the contracts covering the programming services carried on our cable systems expire, and we generally provide such services to our customers without written contracts with the respective program suppliers as our manager negotiates contract renewals.

Our programming costs are expected to rise in the future due to increased costs to purchase programming, particularly sports programming, additional programming being provided to our customers, and other factors affecting the cable television industry. Although we are legally able to pass through expected increases in our programming costs to customers, there can be no assurance that competitive conditions or other factors in the marketplace will allow us to do so.

We also have various retransmission consent arrangements with commercial broadcast stations, which generally expire in December 2005. In some cases, retransmission consents have been contingent upon our carriage of satellite delivered cable programming offered by companies affiliated with the stations' owners or the broadcast network carried by such stations.

Customer Service

System reliability and customer satisfaction represent a cornerstone of our business strategy. We expect that investments in our cable network and our regional contact centers significantly strengthen customer service, enhance the reliability of our cable network and allow us to introduce new services to our customers. We maintain regional contact centers staffed with dedicated customer service representatives, or CSRs, who are available to respond to customer calls 24 hours a day, seven days a week, on a toll-free basis. We believe our regional contact centers allow us to effectively coordinate installation appointments and reduce response times to customer inquiries.

During 2003, we implemented virtual contact center technology which provides customers with extensive self-service capabilities, such as making a payment and verifying service appointments, and enables us to re-route customer calls among our regional contact centers to minimize hold times. Our virtual contact centers also give our CSRs instant access to the calling customer's file and our products and services in the customer's market. We believe our virtual contact centers will help us ensure the most efficient utilization of our CSRs and the most effective customer interactions. Reinforcing our commitment to customer service, we have developed and attained customer service standards that meet or exceed those standards established by the National Cable and Telecommunications Association.

We continue to invest in both personnel and equipment and technology to improve our customer care. Our newest initiatives include e-Care, a web-based customer service platform, and field workforce management programs designed to increase the productivity and sales performance of our technical field employees.

Community Relations

We are dedicated to fostering strong community relations in the communities served by our cable systems. We support local charities and community causes in various ways, including staged events and promotional campaigns to raise funds and supplies for persons in need and in-kind donations that include production services and free airtime on cable networks. We participate in the "Cable in the Classroom" program, which provides more than 1,476 schools with free cable service and, where available, high-speed Internet service. We also provide free cable television service to government buildings, libraries and not-for-profit hospitals in our franchise areas.

We also develop and offer local programming to our communities, a capability not available to direct broadcast satellite service providers, our primary competition in our video business. Several of our systems have production facilities to create local content. These locally produced programs include local school sports events, fund-raising telethons by local chapters of national charitable organizations, local concerts and other entertainment. We also have the exclusive right to carry many of these events. We believe increasing our emphasis on local programming builds customer loyalty.

Franchises

Cable systems are generally operated under non-exclusive franchises granted by local governmental authorities. These franchises typically contain many conditions, such as: time limitations on commencement and completion of construction; conditions of service, including number of channels, types of programming and the provision of free service to schools and other public institutions; and the maintenance or posting of insurance or indemnity bonds by the cable operator. Many of the provisions of local franchises are subject to federal regulation under the Communications Act of 1934, or Communications Act, as amended.

As of December 31, 2003, we held 1,029 cable television franchises. These franchises provide for the payment of fees to the issuing authority. In most of the cable systems, such franchise fees are passed through directly to the customers. The Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, or 1984 Cable Act, prohibits franchising authorities from imposing franchise fees in excess of 5% of gross revenues from specified cable services and also permits the cable operator to seek renegotiation and modification of franchise requirements if warranted by changed circumstances.

Substantially all of the basic subscribers of our cable systems are in service areas that require a franchise. The table below groups the franchises of our cable systems by year of expiration and presents the approximate number and percentage of basic subscribers for each group as of December 31, 2003.

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Year of Franchise Expiration</u>	<u>Number of Franchises</u>	<u>Percentage of Total Franchises</u>	<u>Number of Basic Subscribers</u>	<u>Percentage of Total Basic Subscribers</u>
2004 through 2007	329	32.0%	237,800	32.9%
2008 and thereafter	700	68.0	485,900	67.1
Total	1,029	100.0%	723,700	100.0%

We have never had a franchise revoked or failed to have a franchise renewed. In addition, substantially all of our franchises eligible for renewal have been renewed or extended prior to their stated expirations, and no franchise community has refused to consent to a franchise transfer to us. The 1984 Cable Act provides, among other things, for an orderly franchise renewal process in which franchise renewal will not be unreasonably withheld or, if renewal is denied and the franchising authority acquires ownership of the cable system or effects a transfer of the cable system to another person, the cable operator generally is entitled to the “fair market value” for the cable system covered by such franchise. In addition, the 1984 Cable Act established comprehensive renewal procedures, which require that an incumbent franchisee’s renewal application be assessed on its own merits and not as part of a comparative process with competing applications. We believe that we have satisfactory relationships with our franchising communities.

Competition

We, like most operators of cable systems, compete on the basis of several factors, including price and the quality and variety of products and services offered. We face competition from various communications and entertainment providers, the number and type of which we expect will increase as we expand the products and services offered over our broadband network. In recent years, Congress has passed legislation and the Federal Communications Commission, or FCC, has adopted policies authorizing new technologies and a more favorable operating environment for certain existing technologies that provide, or may provide, substantial additional competition for cable systems. The extent to which a cable system operator is competitive depends in significant part upon the operator’s ability to provide a greater variety of programming, superior technical performance, the variety of services offered, including digital cable, VOD, HDTV and DVRs, and superior customer service than are available with over-the-air broadcasting or other competitive alternative delivery sources. We believe our ability to package multiple services in a bundle, such as combining our video products and services with our high speed Internet access services, is a unique advantage in our competitive business environment.

Providers of Broadcast Television and Other Entertainment

The extent to which a cable system competes with over-the-air broadcasting, which provides signals that a viewer is able to receive directly, depends upon the quality and quantity of the broadcast signals available by direct antenna reception compared to the quality and quantity of such signals and alternative services offered by a cable system. As local over-the-air broadcasters continue their federally-mandated transition to digital-only signal formats, the extent to which those local broadcasters offer digital feeds of their analog programming, additional programming on other digital channels and/or HDTV signals may increase competition for customers with digital or HDTV receivers where such signals are not carried on the cable system. Cable systems also face competition from other sources of entertainment such as live sporting events, movie theaters and home video products, including videotape recorders and videodisc players.

Direct Broadcast Satellite Providers

Direct broadcast satellite service, or DBS service, is the cable industry’s most significant competitor. DBS customers have grown rapidly over the past several years, far exceeding the basic subscriber growth rate of the cable industry. According to recent industry reports, DBS service providers currently deliver video programming services to over 21 million individual households, condominiums, apartments and office complexes in the United States. The two largest DBS companies, DIRECTV, Inc. and EchoStar Communications Corporation, provide service to substantially all of these DBS customers and are each among the four largest providers of multichannel video programming services based on reported customers. The News Corporation Limited, or News Corporation, recently acquired a significant interest in DIRECTV. Affiliation with News Corporation could strengthen DIRECTV’s competitive positioning, as News Corporation also owns Fox Television Network and several cable programming services. A recently launched direct broadcast service, VOOOM, has begun offering primarily HDTV services on a national basis.

Table of Contents

DBS service can be received virtually anywhere in the continental United States through the installation of a small rooftop or side-mounted antenna. DBS service providers use video compression technology to increase channel capacity and digital technology to improve the quality of the signals transmitted to their customers, and typically offer more than 300 channels of programming, including local television broadcast stations and other programming services substantially similar to those provided by our cable systems.

A change in legislation in 1999 allowed DBS service providers to deliver local broadcast signals, eliminating a significant competitive advantage which we and other cable system operators had over DBS service providers. As of December 31, 2003, DBS service providers delivered local broadcast stations in markets representing an estimated 48% of our basic subscribers. Based on announced new markets, this figure could increase to an estimated 88% of our basic subscribers. However, unlike our locally-based cable systems, DBS service providers have limited ability to offer locally-produced programming in each community where service is offered and do not have a meaningful local presence in those communities. In addition, for the foreseeable future, there may be technological and other limitations as to the capability of DBS service providers to deliver most local broadcast signals in all markets, particularly as these signals are delivered in the HDTV format. Overall, we believe our digital cable service, recent launch of DVRs, unique ability to offer VOD, greater quantities of HDTV programming and locally produced content make us competitive with the video products and services delivered by DBS service providers.

DBS service providers also are currently offering two alternatives of satellite-delivered high-speed Internet access service. One alternative is a one-way service that utilizes a telephone return path, in contrast to our two-way, high-speed service, which does not require a telephone line. The other alternative is a two-way, high-speed service, which requires additional equipment purchases by the customer and is offered at higher prices than our own equivalent service.

DBS service providers and certain phone companies are now jointly offering bundled services that include video, voice and high-speed Internet access. We believe our comparable bundled services are competitive with those offered by the DBS and phone company alliances, particularly as we roll out our planned voice telephony services over our cable systems.

Multichannel Multipoint Distribution

Multichannel multipoint distribution systems, also known as MMDS, or wireless cable systems, deliver programming services over microwave channels licensed by the FCC and received by subscribers with special antennas. These wireless cable systems are less capital intensive and subject to fewer regulatory requirements than cable systems, and are not required to obtain local franchises or pay franchise fees. Although relatively few wireless cable systems in the United States are currently in operation or under construction, virtually all markets have been licensed or tentatively licensed. The use of digital compression technology, and the FCC's recent amendment to its rules to permit reverse path or two-way transmission over wireless facilities, enable multichannel multipoint distribution systems to deliver more channels and additional services, including Internet related services. Digital compression technology refers to the conversion of the standard video signal into a digital signal and the compression of that signal to facilitate multiple channel transmissions through a single channel's signal. Generally, wireless cable operators are now concentrated on data transmission services rather than video-service. We have very limited competition from MMDS operators.

Private Cable Television Systems

Private cable television systems known as satellite master antenna television, or SMATV systems, compete with conventional cable television systems for the right to service condominiums, apartment complexes and other multiple dwelling unit facilities. SMATV systems typically use a single satellite dish for an entire building or complex to provide improved reception of local television stations and many of the same satellite-delivered programming services offered by franchised cable systems. SMATV systems typically are not subject to regulation like local franchised cable operators.

Table of Contents

Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, or 1996 Telecom Act, SMATV systems can interconnect non-commonly owned buildings without having to comply with local, state and federal regulatory requirements that are imposed upon cable systems providing similar services, as long as they do not use public rights of way. The FCC has held that the latter provision is not violated so long as interconnection across public rights of way is provided by a third party.

SMATV system operators often enter into exclusive agreements with apartment building owners or homeowners' associations that preclude franchised cable television operators from serving residents of such private complexes. However, the 1984 Cable Act gives franchised cable operators the right to use existing compatible easements within their franchise areas on nondiscriminatory terms and conditions. Accordingly, where there are preexisting dedicated compatible easements, cable operators may not be unfairly denied access or discriminated against with respect to access to the premises served by those easements. Conflicting judicial decisions have been issued interpreting the scope of the access right granted by the 1984 Cable Act, with respect to easements located entirely on private property.

Traditional Overbuilds

Cable television systems are operated under non-exclusive franchises granted by local authorities. More than one cable system may legally be built in the same area by another cable operator, a municipal-owned utility or another service provider. Some of these competitors may be granted franchises on more favorable terms or conditions or enjoy other advantages such as exemptions from taxes or regulatory requirements to which we are subject. Well financed businesses from outside the cable industry, such as public utilities which already possess or are developing fiber optic and other transmission facilities in the areas they serve, may over time become competitors. We believe that various entities are currently offering cable service to an estimated 6.9% of the homes passed in the service areas of our franchises.

Internet Access

We offer high-speed Internet access, or cable modem service, in many of our cable systems. Our cable modem service competes with the high-speed Internet access services offered by existing Internet service providers, commonly known as ISPs, DBS providers, and local and long distance telephone companies. Many of these competitors have substantial resources.

Digital subscriber line technology, known as DSL, provides Internet access to subscribers at data transmission speeds equal to or greater than that of standard telephone line modems, putting DSL service in direct competition with cable modem service. Numerous companies, including telephone companies, have introduced DSL services. DBS providers currently offer satellite-delivered high-speed Internet access with a telephone return path through a one-way service or a two-way interactive high-speed service.

Certain telecommunications companies are seeking to provide high-speed broadband services, including interactive online services, using wireless technologies that may transcend present service boundaries and avoid certain regulatory restrictions. Moreover, some electric utilities have announced plans to deliver broadband services over their electrical distribution networks. The FCC has an on-going rulemaking which, to date, appears limited to basic regulations to avoid technical interference with existing services. If electric utilities provide broadband services over their existing electrical distribution networks, depending upon the variety, quality and pricing of such services, it could have a detrimental impact on us.

A number of ISPs have asked local authorities and the FCC to give them rights of access to cable systems' broadband infrastructure so that they can deliver their services directly to cable systems' customers. This kind of access is often called "open access." Many local franchising authorities have examined the issue of open access and a few have required cable operators to provide such access. Several Federal courts have ruled that localities are not authorized to require open access. The FCC has classified cable modem service as an "information service," not as a "telecommunications service." As an information service, the FCC has held that cable systems are not required to open their networks for use by others to provide ISP services. The 9th Circuit Court of Appeals has issued a contrary decision that finds cable modem services to include both "telecommunications service" and "information service" components. If the 9th Circuit's decision (which is on appeal) prevails, it could result in an open access requirement. If we were required to provide open access to ISPs as a result of FCC action or court decisions, other companies could use our cable system infrastructure to offer Internet services competitive with our own.

Table of Contents

Telephony

We plan to offer VoIP telephony service to subscribers in certain markets beginning in the fourth quarter of 2004 and expanding to additional markets in subsequent years. Our planned telephony service will provide both local and long distance calling. As such, it will directly compete with the incumbent local phone company and long-distance service providers. Other competitors include competitive local exchange carriers, which are non-incumbent local phone companies that provide local services and access to long distance services over their own networks or over leased networks, and wireless telephone carriers. Other companies offering VoIP telephony will also compete with our telephony service. We believe the addition of VoIP service will help us compete with bundled service providers of voice, video and data, including telephone/DBS bundled marketing arrangements.

Other Competition

Advances in communications technology, as well as changes in the marketplace and the regulatory and legislative environment, are constantly occurring. The FCC has authorized a new interactive television service which permits non-video transmission of information between an individual's home and entertainment and information service providers. This service, which can be used by direct broadcast satellite systems, television stations and other video programming distributors, including cable television systems, is an alternative technology for the delivery of interactive video services. It does not appear at the present time that this service will have a material impact on the operations of cable television systems.

The FCC has allocated spectrum in the 28GHz range for a new multichannel wireless service that can be used to provide video and telecommunications services. The FCC completed the process of awarding licenses to use this spectrum via a market-by-market auction. We do not know whether such a service would have a material impact on the operations of cable television systems.

The 1996 Telecom Act eliminated many restrictions on the ability of local telephone companies to offer video programming. Our markets are served by a number of local telephone companies that may become competitors to our video service if they decide to offer video services to homes. Local telephone companies have a number of different ways to enter the video programming business, some of which do not require obtaining a local franchise. Local telephone companies and other potential competitors have the ability to certify their competing video service as an "open video" system. Open video system operators are not subject to certain requirements imposed by the Cable Act upon more traditional cable operators.

The 1996 Telecom Act directed the FCC to establish, and the FCC has adopted, regulations and policies for the issuance of licenses for digital television to incumbent television broadcast licensees. Digital television can deliver high-definition television pictures and multiple digital-quality program streams, as well as CD-quality audio programming and advanced digital services, such as data transfer or subscription video. The FCC also has authorized television broadcast stations to transmit text and graphic information that may be useful to both consumers and businesses. The FCC also permits commercial and non-commercial FM stations to use their subcarrier frequencies to provide non-broadcast services, including data transmission.

Employees

As of December 31, 2003, we employed 1,586 full-time employees and 102 part-time employees. None of our employees are represented by a labor union. We consider our relations with our employees to be satisfactory.

Legislation and Regulation

General

Federal, state and local laws regulate the development and operation of cable communications systems. In the following paragraphs, we summarize the federal laws and regulations materially affecting the growth and operation of the cable industry. We also provide a brief description of certain state and local laws. Currently few laws or regulations apply to Internet services. Existing federal, state and local laws and regulations and state and local franchise requirements are currently the subject of judicial proceedings, legislative hearings and administrative proceedings that could change, in varying degrees, the manner in which cable systems operate. Neither the outcome of these proceedings nor their impact upon the cable industry or our business or operations can be predicted at this time.

Federal Regulation

The principal federal statutes governing the cable industry, the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 and the Telecommunications Act of 1996, collectively the Cable Act, establish the federal regulatory framework for the industry. The Cable Act allocates principal responsibility for enforcing the federal policies among the Federal Communications Commission, or FCC and state and local governmental authorities.

The Cable Act and the regulations and policies of the FCC affect significant aspects of our cable system operations, including:

- subscriber rates;
- the content of the programming we offer to subscribers, as well as the way we sell our program packages to subscribers;
- the use of our cable systems by the local franchising authorities, the public and other unrelated companies;
- our franchise agreements with local governmental authorities;
- cable system ownership limitations and prohibitions; and
- our use of utility poles and conduit.

The FCC and some state regulatory agencies regularly conduct administrative proceedings to adopt or amend regulations implementing the statutory mandate of the Cable Act. At various times, interested parties to these administrative proceedings challenge the new or amended regulations and policies in the courts with varying levels of success. Further court actions and regulatory proceedings may occur that might affect the rights and obligations of various parties under the Cable Act. The results of these judicial and administrative proceedings may materially affect the cable industry and our business and operations.

Subscriber Rates

The Cable Act and the FCC's regulations and policies limit the ability of cable systems to raise rates for basic services and customer equipment. No other rates are subject to regulation. Federal law exempts cable systems from all rate regulation in communities that are subject to effective competition, as defined by federal law and where affirmatively declared by the FCC.

Where there is no effective competition to the cable operator's services, federal law gives local franchising authorities the responsibility to regulate the rates charged by the operator for:

- the lowest level of programming service offered by cable operator, typically called basic service, which includes, at a minimum, the local broadcast channels and any public access or governmental channels that are required by the operator's franchise;

Table of Contents

- the installation of cable service and related service calls; and
- the installation, sale and lease of equipment used by subscribers to receive basic service, such as converter boxes and remote control units.

Local franchising authorities who wish to regulate basic service rates and related equipment rates must first obtain FCC certification to regulate by following a simplified FCC certification process and agreeing to follow established FCC rules and policies when regulating the cable operator's rates.

Several years ago, the FCC adopted detailed rate regulations, guidelines and rate forms that a cable operator and the local franchising authority must use in connection with the regulation of basic service and equipment rates. The FCC adopted a benchmark methodology as the principal method of regulating rates. However, if this methodology produces unacceptable rates, the operator may also justify rates using a detailed cost-of-service methodology. The Cable Act and FCC rules also allow franchising authorities to regulate equipment rates on the basis of actual cost plus a reasonable profit, as defined by the FCC.

If the local franchising authority concludes that a cable operator's rates are too high under the FCC's rate rules, the local franchising authority may require the cable operator to reduce rates and to refund overcharges to subscribers, with interest. The cable operator may appeal adverse local rate decisions to the FCC.

The FCC's regulations allow a cable operator to modify regulated rates on a quarterly or annual basis to account for changes in:

- the number of regulated channels;
- inflation; and
- certain external costs, such as franchise and other governmental fees, copyright and retransmission consent fees, taxes, programming fees and franchise-imposed obligations.

The Cable Act and/or the FCC's regulations also:

- require cable operators to charge uniform rates throughout each franchise area that is not subject to effective competition;
- prohibit regulation of non-predatory bulk discount rates offered by cable operators to subscribers in multiple dwelling units; and
- permit regulated equipment rates to be computed by aggregating costs of broad categories of equipment at the franchise, system, regional or company level.

Content Requirements

Must Carry and Retransmission Consent

The FCC's regulations contain broadcast signal carriage requirements that allow local commercial television broadcast stations to elect once every three years whether to require a cable system:

- to carry the station, subject to certain exceptions; or
- to negotiate the terms by which the cable system may carry the station on its cable systems, commonly called retransmission consent.

[Table of Contents](#)

The Cable Act and the FCC's regulations require a cable operator to devote up to one-third of its activated channel capacity for the carriage of local commercial television stations. The Cable Act and the FCC's rules also give certain local non-commercial, educational television stations mandatory carriage rights, but not the option to negotiate retransmission consent. Additionally, cable systems must obtain retransmission consent for carriage of:

- all distant commercial television stations, except for certain commercial satellite-delivered independent superstations such as WGN;
- commercial radio stations; and
- certain low-power television stations.

Must-carry obligations may decrease the attractiveness of the cable operator's overall programming offerings by including less popular programming on the channel line-up, while cable operators may need to provide some form of consideration to broadcasters to obtain retransmission consent to carry more popular programming. We carry both broadcast stations based on must-carry obligations and others that have granted retransmission consent.

The FCC has issued a decision that effectively requires mandatory carriage of local television stations that broadcast only digital signals. These stations are entitled to request carriage in their choice of digital or converted analog format. Stations transmitting in both digital and analog formats ("Dual Format Broadcast Stations"), which is permitted during the current several-year transition period, have no carriage rights for the digital format during the transition unless and until they turn in their analog channel. The FCC has an ongoing proceeding to determine whether cable operators will be required to carry the digital signal of Dual Format Broadcast Stations that currently have must-carry rights for their analog signals. The FCC had tentatively concluded that it will not adopt the "dual carriage" requirement during the transition, and had also concluded that a cable operator need only carry a broadcaster's "primary video" service (rather than all of the digital "multi-cast" services) but those conclusions may change as a result of the FCC's current proceeding. If the "dual carriage" rule is adopted, or the FCC concludes that cable operators must carry all of the multi-cast services in a broadcaster's digital feed, this would have a negative impact on us because it would reduce available channel capacity and thereby could require us to either discontinue other channels of programming or restrict us from carrying new channels of programming that are more desired by our customers.

Tier Buy Through

The Cable Act and the FCC's regulations require our cable systems, other than those systems which are subject to effective competition, to permit subscribers to purchase video programming we offer on a per channel or a per program basis without the necessity of subscribing to any tier of service other than the basic service tier.

Program Access

To increase competition between cable operators and other video program distributors, the Cable Act and the FCC's regulations:

- preclude any satellite video programmer affiliated with a cable company, or with a common carrier providing video programming directly to its subscribers, from favoring an affiliated company over competitors;
- require such programmers to sell their programming to other unaffiliated video program distributors; and
- limit the ability of such programmers to offer exclusive programming arrangements to their related parties.

Other Programming

Federal law actively regulates other aspects of our programming, involving such areas as:

- our use of syndicated and network programs and local sports broadcast programming;
- advertising in children's programming;

Table of Contents

- political advertising;
- origination cablecasting;
- adult programming;
- sponsorship identification; and
- closed captioning of video programming.

Use of Our Cable Systems by the Government and Unrelated Third Parties

The Cable Act allows local franchising authorities and unrelated third parties to have access to our cable systems' channel capacity for their own use. For example, the Cable Act:

- permits franchising authorities to require cable operators to set aside channels for public, educational and governmental access programming; and
- requires a cable system with 36 or more activated channels to designate a significant portion of its channel capacity for commercial leased access by third parties to provide programming that may compete with services offered by the cable operator.

The FCC regulates various aspects of third-party commercial use of channel capacity on our cable systems, including:

- the maximum reasonable rate a cable operator may charge for third-party commercial use of the designated channel capacity;
- the terms and conditions for commercial use of such channels; and
- the procedures for the expedited resolution of disputes concerning rates or commercial use of the designated channel capacity.

Franchise Matters

We have non-exclusive franchises in virtually every community in which we operate that authorize us to construct, operate and maintain our cable systems. Although franchising matters are normally regulated at the local level through a franchise agreement and/or a local ordinance, the Cable Act provides oversight and guidelines to govern our relationship with local franchising authorities.

For example, the Cable Act and/or FCC regulations and determinations:

Provide guidelines for the exercise of local regulatory authority that:

- affirm the right of franchising authorities, which may be state or local, depending on the practice in individual states, to award one or more franchises within their jurisdictions;
- generally prohibit us from operating in communities without a franchise;
- permit local authorities, when granting or renewing our franchises, to establish requirements for cable-related facilities and equipment, but prohibit franchising authorities from establishing requirements for specific video programming or information services other than in broad categories; and
- permit us to obtain modification of our franchise requirements from the franchise authority or by judicial action if warranted by commercial impracticability.

Table of Contents

Generally prohibit franchising authorities from:

- imposing requirements during the initial cable franchising process or during franchise renewal that require, prohibit or restrict us from providing telecommunications services,
- imposing franchise fees on revenues we derive from providing telecommunications or information services over our cable systems,
- restricting our use of any type of subscriber equipment or transmission technology, and
- requiring payment of franchise fees to the local franchising authority in excess of 5.0% of our gross revenues derived from providing cable services over our cable system.

Encourage competition with existing cable systems by:

- allowing municipalities to operate their own cable systems without franchises, and
- preventing franchising authorities from granting exclusive franchises or from unreasonably refusing to award additional franchises covering an existing cable system's service area.

Provide renewal procedures:

- The Cable Act contains renewal procedures designed to protect us against arbitrary denials of renewal of our franchises although, under certain circumstances, the franchising authority could deny us a franchise renewal. Moreover, even if our franchise is renewed, the franchising authority may seek to impose upon us new and more onerous requirements, such as significant upgrades in facilities and services or increased franchise fees as a condition of renewal to the extent permitted by law. Similarly, if a franchising authority's consent is required for the purchase or sale of our cable system or franchise, the franchising authority may attempt to impose more burdensome or onerous franchise requirements on the purchaser in connection with a request for such consent. Historically, cable operators providing satisfactory services to their subscribers and complying with the terms of their franchises have almost always obtained franchise renewals. We believe that we have generally met the terms of our franchises and have provided quality levels of service. We anticipate that our future franchise renewal prospects generally will be favorable.
- Various courts have considered whether franchising authorities have the legal right to limit the number of franchises awarded within a community and to impose substantive franchise requirements. These decisions have been inconsistent and, until the U.S. Supreme Court rules definitively on the scope of cable operators' First Amendment protections, the legality of the franchising process generally and of various specific franchise requirements is likely to be in a state of flux.

Ownership Limitations

The Cable Act generally prohibits us from owning or operating a satellite master antenna television system or multichannel multipoint distribution system in any area where we provide franchised cable service and do not have effective competition, as defined by federal law. We may, however, acquire and operate a satellite master antenna television system in our existing franchise service areas if the programming and other services provided to the satellite master antenna television system subscribers are offered according to the terms and conditions of our local franchise agreement.

The Cable Act also authorizes the FCC to adopt nationwide limits on the number of subscribers under the control of a cable operator and to impose limits on the number of channels which can be occupied on a cable system by a video programmer in which a cable operator has an interest. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit overturned the FCC's rules implementing these statutory provisions and remanded the case to the FCC for further proceedings.

Table of Contents

The 1996 amendments to the Cable Act eliminated the statutory prohibition on the common ownership, operation or control of a cable system and a television broadcast station in the same service area. The identical FCC regulation has been invalidated by a federal appellate court. The FCC has eliminated its regulatory restriction on cross-ownership of cable systems and national broadcasting networks.

The 1996 amendments to the Cable Act also made far-reaching changes in the relationship between local telephone companies and cable service providers. These amendments:

- eliminated federal legal barriers to competition in the local telephone and cable communications businesses, including allowing local telephone companies to offer video services in their local telephone service areas;
- preempted legal barriers to telecommunications competition that previously existed in state and local laws and regulations;
- set basic standards for relationships between telecommunications providers; and
- generally limited acquisitions and prohibited joint ventures between local telephone companies and cable operators in the same market.

Pursuant to these changes in federal law, local telephone companies may now provide service as traditional cable operators with local franchises or they may opt to provide their programming over open video systems, subject to certain conditions, including, but not limited to, setting aside a portion of their channel capacity for use by unaffiliated program distributors on a non-discriminatory basis. The decision as to whether an operator of an open video system must obtain a local franchise is left to each community.

Pole Attachment Regulation

The Cable Act requires certain public utilities, defined to include all local telephone companies and electric utilities except those owned by municipalities and co-operatives, to provide cable operators and telecommunications carriers with nondiscriminatory access to poles, ducts, conduit and rights-of-way at just and reasonable rates. This right to access is beneficial to us. Federal law also requires the FCC to regulate the rates, terms and conditions imposed by such public utilities for cable systems' use of utility pole and conduit space unless state authorities have demonstrated to the FCC that they adequately regulate pole attachment rates, as is the case in certain states in which we operate. In the absence of state regulation, the FCC administers pole attachment rates on a formula basis. The FCC adopted a new rate formula that became effective in 2001 which governs the maximum rate certain utilities may charge for attachments to their poles and conduit by companies providing telecommunications services, including cable operators.

Increases in attachment rates due to the FCC's new rate formula are phased in over a five-year period in equal annual increments, beginning in February 2001. This new formula will result in higher attachment rates than at present, but they will apply only to cable television systems which elect to offer telecommunications services. The FCC ruled that the provision of Internet services will not, in and of itself, trigger use of the new formula. The Supreme Court affirmed this decision and also held that the FCC's authority to regulate rates for attachments to utility poles extended to attachments by cable operators and telecommunications carriers that are used to provide Internet service or for wireless telecommunications service. This development benefits our business and will place a constraint on the prices that most utilities can charge with regard to the cable facilities over which we also provide Internet service so long as we do not offer other telecommunications services over the cable system.

Other Regulatory Requirements of the Communications Act and the FCC

The FCC has adopted cable inside wiring rules to provide a more specific procedure for the disposition of residential home wiring and internal building wiring that belongs to an incumbent cable operator that is forced by the building owner to terminate its cable services in a building with multiple dwelling units.

Table of Contents

The Cable Act and/or FCC rules include provisions, among others, regulating other parts of our cable operations, involving such areas as:

- equal employment opportunity;
- consumer protection and customer service;
- technical standards and testing of cable facilities;
- consumer electronics equipment compatibility;
- registration of cable systems;
- maintenance of various records and public inspection files;
- microwave frequency usage; and
- antenna structure notification, marking and lighting.

The FCC may enforce its regulations through the imposition of fines, the issuance of cease and desist orders or the imposition of other administrative sanctions, such as the revocation of FCC licenses needed to operate transmission facilities often used in connection with cable operations. The FCC has ongoing rulemaking proceedings that may change its existing rules or lead to new regulations. We are unable to predict the impact that any further FCC rule changes may have on our business and operations.

Copyright

Our cable systems typically include in their channel line-ups local and distant television and radio broadcast signals, which are protected by the copyright laws. We generally do not obtain a license to use this programming directly from the owners of the programming, but instead comply with an alternative federal compulsory copyright licensing process. In exchange for filing certain reports and contributing a percentage of our revenues to a federal copyright royalty pool, we obtain blanket permission to retransmit the copyrighted material carried on these broadcast signals. The nature and amount of future copyright payments for broadcast signal carriage cannot be predicted at this time.

In a report to Congress, the U.S. Copyright Office recommended that Congress make major revisions to both the cable television and satellite compulsory licenses. In 1999, Congress modified the satellite compulsory license in a manner that permits DBS providers to become more competitive with cable operators. While this authority is due to expire on December 31, 2004, extension legislation has been introduced in Congress. The possible simplification, modification or elimination of the cable communications compulsory copyright license is the subject of continuing legislative review. The elimination or substantial modification of the cable compulsory license could adversely affect our ability to obtain suitable programming and could substantially increase the cost of programming that remains available for distribution to our subscribers. We are unable to predict the outcome of this legislative activity related to either the cable compulsory license or the right of direct broadcast satellite providers to deliver local broadcast signals.

Copyrighted material in programming supplied to cable television systems by pay cable networks and basic cable networks is licensed by the networks through private agreements with the copyright owners. These entities generally offer through to-the-viewer licenses to the cable networks that cover the retransmission of the cable networks' programming by cable television systems to their customers.

Our cable systems also utilize music in other programming and advertising that we provide to subscribers. The rights to use this music are controlled by various music performing rights organizations from which performance licenses must be obtained. Cable industry representatives recently negotiated standard license agreements with the three largest music performing rights organizations covering locally originated programming, including advertising inserted by the cable operator in programming produced by other parties. These standard agreements require the payment of music license fees for earlier time periods, but such license fees have not had a significant impact on our business and operations.

Interactive Television

The FCC has issued a Notice of Inquiry covering a wide range of issues relating to interactive television, or ITV. Examples of ITV services are interactive electronic program guides and access to a graphic interface that provides supplementary information related to the video display. In the near term, cable systems are likely to be the platform of choice for the distribution of ITV services. The FCC posed a series of questions including the definition of ITV, the potential for discrimination by cable systems in favor of affiliated ITV providers, enforcement mechanisms, and the proper regulatory classification of ITV service.

Privacy

The Cable Act imposes a number of restrictions on the manner in which cable television operators can collect, disclose and retain data about individual system customers, as well as requiring cable operators to take such actions as necessary to prevent unauthorized access to such information. The statute also requires that the system operator periodically provide all customers with written information about its policies including the types of information collected; the use of such information; the nature, frequency and purpose of any disclosures; the period of retention; the times and places where a customer may have access to such information; the limitations placed on the cable operator by the Cable Act; and a customer's enforcement rights. In the event that a cable television operator is found to have violated the customer privacy provision of the Cable Act, it could be required to pay damages, attorneys' fees and other costs. Certain of these Cable Act requirements have been modified by certain more recent federal laws. In addition, some states in which we operate have also enacted customer privacy statutes that are in some cases more restrictive than those in federal law.

Voice-Over-Internet Protocol

Numerous cable operators are either exploring or have commenced offering VoIP as a competitive alternative to traditional circuit-switched telephone service. Various states, including states where we operate, have adopted or are considering differing regulatory treatment, ranging from minimal or no regulation to full-blown common carrier status. The FCC has announced a proceeding to determine any appropriate regulatory obligations for VoIP. While the outcome of this proceeding cannot be predicted, it is generally believed that the FCC favors a "light touch" regulatory approach for VoIP, which might include preemption of certain state or local regulation.

Cable Modem Service

There are currently few laws or regulations that specifically regulate communications or commerce over the Internet. Section 230 of the Communications Act declares it to be the policy of the United States to promote the continued development of the Internet and other interactive computer services and interactive media, and to preserve the vibrant and competitive free market that presently exists for the Internet and other interactive computer services, unfettered by federal or state regulation. One area in which Congress did attempt to regulate content over the Internet involved the dissemination of obscene or indecent materials.

The Digital Millennium Copyright Act is intended to reduce the liability of online service providers for listing or linking to third-party websites that include materials that infringe copyrights or other rights or if customers use the service to publish or disseminate infringing materials. The Children's Online Protection Act and the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act are intended to restrict the distribution of certain materials deemed harmful to children and impose additional restrictions on the ability of online services to collect user information from minors. In addition, the Protection of Children From Sexual Predators Act of 1998 requires online service providers to report evidence of violations of federal child pornography laws under certain circumstances.

A number of ISPs have asked local authorities and the FCC to give them rights of access to cable systems' broadband infrastructure so that they can deliver their services directly to cable systems' customers, which is often called 'open access.' Many local franchising authorities have examined the issue of open access and a few have required cable operators to provide such access. Several federal courts have ruled that localities are not authorized to require open access.

Table of Contents

In March of 2002, the FCC announced that it was classifying Internet access service provided through cable modems as an interstate information service. At the same time, the FCC initiated a rulemaking proceeding designed to address a number of issues resulting from this regulatory classification, including the following:

- the FCC confirmed that there is no current legal requirement for cable operators to grant open access now that cable modem service is classified as an information service. The FCC is considering, however, whether it has the authority to impose open access requirements and, if so, whether it should do so, or whether to permit local authorities to impose such a requirement.
- the FCC found that cable modem service is an information service, not a cable service, which has resulted in several court rulings that local franchise authorities may not collect franchise fees on cable modem service revenues under existing law and regulations.
- the FCC concluded that federal law does not permit local franchise authorities to impose additional franchise requirements on cable modem service. It is considering, however, whether local franchise authorities nonetheless have the authority to impose restrictions, requirements or fees because cable modem service is delivered over cable using public rights of way.
- the FCC is considering whether cable operators providing cable modem service should be required to contribute to a “universal service fund” designed to support making service available to all consumers, including those in low income, rural and high-cost areas at rates that are reasonably comparable to those charged in urban areas.
- the FCC is considering whether it should take steps to ensure that the regulatory burdens on cable systems providing cable modem service are comparable to those of other providers of Internet access service, such as telephone companies. One method of achieving comparability would be to make cable operators subject to some of the regulations that do not now apply to them, but are applicable to telephone companies.

Challenges to the FCC’s classification of cable Internet access service as an “information service” and not a “cable service” or a “telecommunications service” have been filed in federal court. Based on a finding that it was bound by an earlier decision, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals overturned the FCC’s classification and found cable Internet service to include both “information service” and “telecommunications service” components. The Ninth Circuit’s decision is under appeal. In other previous actions over the regulatory classification of cable modem service, the courts have issued conflicting decisions. These conflicting rulings and the new court proceedings increase the possibility that the classification of cable Internet service could be decided by the Supreme Court.

State and Local Regulation

Our cable systems use local streets and rights-of-way. Consequently, we must comply with state and local regulation, which is typically imposed through the franchising process. Our cable systems generally are operated in accordance with non-exclusive franchises, permits or licenses granted by a municipality or other state or local government entity. Our franchises generally are granted for fixed terms and in many cases are terminable if we fail to comply with material provisions. The terms and conditions of our franchises vary materially from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. Each franchise generally contains provisions governing:

- franchise fees;
- franchise term;
- system construction and maintenance obligations;
- system channel capacity;
- design and technical performance;

Table of Contents

- customer service standards;
- sale or transfer of the franchise;
- territory of the franchise;
- indemnification of the franchising authority;
- use and occupancy of public streets; and
- types of cable services provided.

In the process of renewing franchises, a franchising authority may seek to impose new and more onerous requirements, such as upgraded facilities, increased channel capacity or enhanced services, although protections available under the Cable Act require the municipality to take into account the cost of meeting such requirements. The Cable Act also contains renewal procedures and criteria designed to protect incumbent franchisees against arbitrary denials of renewal.

A number of states subject cable systems to the jurisdiction of centralized state governmental agencies, some of which impose regulation of a character similar to that of a public utility. Attempts in other states to regulate cable systems are continuing and can be expected to increase. To date, other than Delaware, no state in which we operate has enacted such state-level regulation. State and local franchising jurisdiction is not unlimited; it must be exercised consistent with federal law. The Cable Act immunizes franchising authorities from most monetary damage awards arising from regulation of cable systems or decisions made on franchise grants, renewals, transfers and amendments.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal physical assets consist of cable television operating plant and equipment, including signal receiving, encoding and decoding devices, headend facilities and distribution systems and equipment at or near customers' homes for each of the systems. The signal receiving apparatus typically includes a tower, antenna, ancillary electronic equipment and earth stations for reception of satellite signals. Headend facilities are located near the receiving devices. Our distribution system consists primarily of coaxial and fiber optic cables and related electronic equipment. Customer premise equipment consists of decoding converters and cable modems.

Our cable television plant and related equipment generally are attached to utility poles under pole rental agreements with local public utilities, although in some areas the distribution cable is buried in underground ducts or trenches. The physical components of the cable systems require maintenance and periodic upgrading to improve system performance and capacity.

We own and lease the real property housing our regional call centers, business offices and warehouses throughout our operating regions. Our headend facilities, signal reception sites and microwave facilities are located on owned and leased parcels of land, and we generally own the towers on which certain of our equipment is located. We own most of our service vehicles. We believe that our properties both owned and leased, are in good condition and are suitable and adequate for our operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which we are a party or to which any of our properties are subject.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANTS' COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

There is no public trading market for our equity, all of which is held by MCC.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Mediacom LLC was formed as a New York limited liability company in July 1995 and since that time our taxable income or loss has been included in the federal and certain state income tax returns of our members.

In the table below, we provide you with selected historical consolidated financial and operating data for the years ended December 31, 1999 through 2003 and balance sheet data as of December 31, 1999 through 2003, which are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. We have significantly expanded our business through acquisitions. In 2000, we acquired cable systems serving approximately 53,000 basic subscribers for an aggregate purchase price of \$109.2 million. In 1999, we acquired cable systems serving approximately 358,000 basic subscribers for an aggregate purchase price of \$759.6 million.

See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
	(dollars in thousands) (unaudited)				
Statement of Operations Data:					
Revenues	\$ 452,548	\$ 410,241	\$ 369,275	\$ 328,258	\$ 174,961
Costs and expenses:					
Service costs	169,818	152,684	130,473	110,442	56,967
Selling, general and administrative expenses	77,908	68,563	63,846	55,820	32,949
Management fee expense ⁽¹⁾	7,915	11,108	8,734	34,283	22,396
Depreciation and amortization	158,718	194,862	221,645	177,928	101,065
Operating income (loss)	38,189	(16,976)	(55,423)	(50,215)	(38,416)
Interest expense, net	(98,596)	(102,458)	(93,823)	(68,973)	(37,817)
Gain (loss) on derivative instruments, net	4,410	1,172	(8,441)	—	—
Investment income from affiliate ⁽²⁾	18,000	18,000	8,120	—	—
Other (expenses) income	(4,493)	(4,845)	23,885	(30,036)	(5,087)
Net loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	(42,490)	(105,107)	(125,682)	(149,224)	(81,320)
Cumulative effect of accounting change ⁽³⁾	—	—	(1,642)	—	—
Net loss	<u>\$ (42,490)</u>	<u>\$ (105,107)</u>	<u>\$ (127,324)</u>	<u>\$ (149,224)</u>	<u>\$ (81,320)</u>
Balance Sheet Data (end of period):					
Total assets	\$1,515,366	\$1,567,240	\$1,566,045	\$1,375,772	\$1,272,881
Total debt	1,524,324	1,548,711	1,425,500	987,000	1,139,000
Total member’s (deficit) equity	(128,283)	(85,793)	13,991	262,997	54,615

(continued on next page)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
	(dollars in thousands) (unaudited)				
Other Data:					
Operating income before depreciation and amortization ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 196,907	\$ 177,886	\$ 166,222	\$ 127,713	\$ 62,649
Operating income before depreciation and amortization margin ⁽⁵⁾	43.5%	43.4%	45.0%	38.9%	35.8%
Net cash flows provided by(used in):					
Operating activities	\$ 119,686	\$ 67,433	\$ 102,191	\$ 93,218	\$ 54,216
Investing activities	(99,282)	(176,599)	(398,946)	(295,613)	(851,548)
Financing activities	(27,877)	122,678	300,040	202,015	799,593
Operating Data (end of period, except average):					
Homes passed ⁽⁶⁾	1,282,500	1,252,000	1,200,000	1,173,000	1,071,500
Basic subscribers ⁽⁷⁾	723,700	752,000	771,000	779,000	719,000
Basic penetration ⁽⁸⁾	56.4%	60.1%	64.3%	66.4%	67.1%
Digital customers ⁽⁹⁾	151,400	133,000	88,000	40,000	5,300
Data customers ⁽¹⁰⁾	122,200	81,000	38,000	15,600	5,100

(1) Represents fees paid to MCC subsequent to its initial public offering and to Mediacom Management Corporation, a Delaware corporation, prior to MCC's initial public offering, for management services rendered to our operating subsidiaries. The management agreements with Mediacom Management were terminated upon the completion of MCC's initial public offering and were replaced with new agreements between MCC and our operating subsidiaries. See Note 6 of our consolidated financial statements. Management fee expense includes non-cash stock charges related to management fee expense as follows:

- for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, \$5.3 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, resulted from the vesting of equity grants made during 1999 to certain members of our management team;
- for the year ended December 31, 2000 consist of a one-time \$24.5 million charge resulting from the termination of the management agreements with Mediacom Management upon completion of MCC's initial public offering in February 2000 and a \$3.8 million charge related to the vesting of equity grants made during 1999 to certain members of our management team;
- for the year ended December 31, 1999 consist of a \$0.6 million charge relating to amendments to our management agreements with Mediacom Management and a \$14.8 million charge related to the vesting of equity grants to certain members of our management team

(2) Investment income from affiliate represents the investment income on our \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband. See Note 11 of our consolidated financial statements.

(3) Relates to our adoption of Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."

(4) Operating income before depreciation and amortization represents a non-GAAP measure and is one of the primary measures used by our management to evaluate our performance and to forecast future results. We believe operating income before depreciation and amortization is useful for investors because it enables them to assess our performance in a manner similar to the method used by our management, and provides a measure that can be used to analyze, value and compare the companies in the cable television industry, which may have different depreciation and amortization policies. A limitation of this measure, however, is that it excludes depreciation and amortization, which represents the period costs of certain capitalized tangible and intangible assets. It is also not intended to be a performance measure that should be regarded as an alternative either to operating income (loss) or net loss as an indicator of operating performance or to the statement of cash flows as a measure of liquidity and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with

[Table of Contents](#)

generally accepted accounting principles. Our definitions of operating income before depreciation and amortization may not be identical to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

The following represents a reconciliation of operating income before depreciation and amortization to operating income (loss), which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
	(dollars in thousands) (unaudited)				
Operating income before depreciation and amortization	\$ 196,907	\$ 177,886	\$ 166,222	\$ 127,713	\$ 62,649
Depreciation and amortization	(158,718)	(194,862)	(221,645)	(177,928)	(101,065)
Operating income (loss)	\$ 38,189	\$ (16,976)	\$ (55,423)	\$ (50,215)	\$ (38,416)

- (5) Represents operating income before depreciation and amortization as a percentage of revenues. See note 4 above.
- (6) Represents the number of single residence homes, apartments and condominium units passed by the cable distribution network in a cable system's service area.
- (7) Represents a dwelling with one or more television sets that receives a package of over-the-air broadcast stations, local access channels or certain satellite-delivered cable television services. Accounts that are billed on a bulk basis, which typically receive discounted rates, are converted into full-price equivalent basic subscribers by dividing total bulk billed basic revenues of a particular system by the applicable combined limited and expanded cable rate charged to basic subscribers in that system. Basic subscribers include connections to schools, libraries, local government offices and employee households that may not be charged for limited and expanded cable services, but may be charged for premium units, pay-per-view events or high-speed Internet service. Customers who exclusively purchase high-speed Internet service are not counted as basic subscribers. Our methodology of calculating the number of basic subscribers may not be identical to those used by other cable companies.
- (8) Represents basic subscribers as a percentage of homes passed.
- (9) Represents customers that receive digital cable services.
- (10) Represents residential data customers and small to medium-sized commercial accounts billed at higher rates than residential customers. Small to medium-sized commercial accounts generally represent customers with bandwidth requirements less than 5MHz. These commercial accounts are converted to equivalent residential data customers by dividing their associated revenues by the applicable residential rate. Our data customers exclude large commercial accounts and include a insignificant number of dial-up customers. Our methodology of calculating data customers may not be identical to those used by other cable companies.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Reference is made to the "Risk Factors" below for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ from expectations and any of our forward-looking statements contained herein. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 and for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

Overview

In 2003, we completed our network upgrade program that significantly increased bandwidth and enabled interactivity. As of December 31, 2003, approximately 98% of our cable network was upgraded with 550MHz to 870MHz bandwidth capacity and about 96% of our homes passed were activated with two-way communications capability. Expressed in megahertz (MHz), bandwidth represents a system's capacity to deliver telecommunication services.

Our upgraded network allows us to introduce additional programming and other services such as digital video, high-speed Internet access, video-on-demand and high-definition television. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2004, we plan to introduce in certain markets Internet protocol telephony service, which is sometimes referred to as Voice-over-Internet-Protocol, or VoIP telephony. We currently offer video and data bundles. VoIP telephony will allow us to offer an attractive triple-play bundle of video, data and voice products and services. Bundled products and services offer our subscribers key benefits such as a single provider contact for provisioning, billing and customer care.

We face increasing competition for our video programming services, most notably from direct broadcast satellite service, or DBS service providers. In 2003, competitive pressure from DBS service providers intensified when they launched local television channels in additional markets representing an estimated 18% of our basic subscriber base. Since they have been permitted to deliver local television broadcast signals beginning in 1999, DIRECTV, Inc. and EchoStar Communications Corporation, the two largest DBS service providers, have been increasing the number of markets in which they deliver these local television signals. These "local-into-local" launches were usually accompanied by heavy marketing and advertising and were the primary cause of our loss of basic subscribers in 2003. As of December 31, 2003, local-to-local launches in our markets represented an estimated 48% of our basic subscribers.

Actual Results of Operations

Year Ended December 31, 2003 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2002

The following table sets forth consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 (dollars in thousands and percentage changes that are not meaningful are marked NM):

	Year Ended December 31,		\$ Change	% Change
	2003	2002		
Revenues	\$452,548	\$ 410,241	\$ 42,307	10.3%
Costs and expenses:				
Service costs	169,818	152,684	17,134	11.2
Selling, general and administrative expenses	77,908	68,563	9,345	13.6
Management fee expense	7,915	11,108	(3,193)	(28.7)
Depreciation and amortization	158,718	194,862	(36,144)	(18.5)
Operating income (loss)	38,189	(16,976)	55,165	NM
Interest expense, net	(98,596)	(102,458)	3,862	(3.8)
Gain on derivative instruments, net	4,410	1,172	3,238	NM
Investment income	18,000	18,000	—	—
Other expenses	(4,493)	(4,845)	352	(7.3)
Net loss	<u>\$ (42,490)</u>	<u>(105,107)</u>	<u>62,617</u>	<u>NM</u>

Revenues

The following table sets forth revenue information for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,				\$ Change	% Change
	2003		2002			
	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	% of Revenues		
Video	\$395,207	87.3%	\$379,770	92.6%	\$15,437	4.1%
Data	49,432	10.9	25,718	6.3	23,714	92.2
Advertising	7,909	1.8	4,753	1.1	3,156	66.4
	<u>\$452,548</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$410,241</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$42,307</u>	<u>10.3%</u>

Video revenues represent monthly subscription fees charged to customers for our core cable television products and services (including basic, expanded basic and analog premium programming, digital cable television programming services, wire maintenance, equipment rental and services to commercial establishments), pay-per-view charges, installation and reconnection fees, late payment fees, and other ancillary revenues. Data revenues primarily represent monthly subscription fees charged to customers for our data products and services and equipment rental fee. Franchise fees charged to customers for payment to local franchising authorities are included in their corresponding revenue category.

Revenues rose 10.3% attributable to a 51% increase in high-speed data customers and basic rate increases applied on our video customers, driven in large part by our own programming cost increases.

Table of Contents

Video revenues increased 4.1% as a result of the aforementioned basic rate increases, partially offset by a 3.8% decline in basic subscribers after adjusting for small acquisitions and divestitures during 2003. Our loss in basic subscribers resulted primarily from greater competitive pressures by DBS service providers. To reverse this video customer trend, we are increasing our video customer retention efforts and our emphasis on bundling, enhancing and differentiating our video products and services with video-on-demand, high-definition television and digital video recorders.

Data revenues rose 92.2% due in large part to a 51% increase in data customers from 81,000 to 122,200. We expect this customer trend in our data business to continue and anticipate continued demand for our high-speed data service.

Advertising revenues increased 66.4% primarily as a result of bringing in-house certain markets previously managed by third parties. Instead of receiving advertising revenues which are net of commissions paid to the third parties, we now record the full revenues from these markets with the related expenses, including in-house commissions, which are recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses.

Costs and Expenses

Service costs include fees paid to programming suppliers, expenses related to wages and salaries of technical personnel, who maintain our cable network and perform customer installation activities, high-speed Internet access costs, including costs of bandwidth connectivity, customer provisioning and technical support for our customers, and plant operating costs, such as utilities and pole rental expense. Programming costs, which are payments to programmers for content and are generally paid on a per subscriber basis, have historically increased at rates in excess of inflation primarily due to both increases in the rates charged for existing programming services and the introduction of new programming services to our basic subscribers.

Service costs increased 11.2% over the prior year, which included \$1.3 million of incremental costs related to our transition to our Mediacom Online high-speed Internet access service. These costs represented the excess over the agreed-upon charges owed to our former high-speed provider and were incurred in the first quarter of 2002. Excluding these incremental costs, service costs would have increased 12.2% or \$18.4 million. Approximately half of this increase was due to servicing 41,200 new data customers, with the balance primarily represented by increases in unit costs for basic programming. The increase in total programming costs was partially offset by the aforementioned decline in basic subscribers as well as decrease in analog premium service units. As a percentage of revenues, service costs were 37.5% for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared with 37.2% for the year ended December 31, 2002.

We expect continued increases in programming costs and will continue to pass through some portion of these increases to our customers. Under the Federal Communication Commission's existing cable rate regulations, we are allowed to increase our rates for cable television services to more than cover any increases in the programming. However, competitive conditions or other factors in the marketplace may limit our ability to increase our rates.

Selling, general and administrative expenses include: wages and salaries for our call center, customer service and support, and administrative personnel; franchise fees and taxes; and expenses related to billing, telecommunications, marketing, bad debt, advertising and office administration.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 13.6%, principally due to higher customer support, call center, bad debt, advertising and marketing expenses. Customer support expenses increased 32.7% as a result of higher staffing levels and employee commissions. We expect customer support expense increases to moderate as customer support staffing is now at an appropriate level. Call center expenses, mainly customer service personnel and telecommunications costs, increased 14.7% as a result of increased staffing and higher call volumes experienced during the year. We expect call center expense increases to moderate, as recent call volumes have meaningfully decreased and call center staffing is now at an appropriate level. Bad debt expense rose 26.5% primarily as a result of higher customer churn and the implementation throughout the year of tighter customer credit controls. We expect bad debt expense to moderate as we continue to improve our customer credit controls. Advertising expenses increased significantly, from \$550,000 to \$2.3 million, due to the costs associated with bringing in-house sizeable markets previously managed by third parties. These costs rose commensurate with the 66.4% increase in advertising revenues. Marketing expenses rose 8.2% to support the digital television and data products and services, to cover the costs of

Table of Contents

advertising campaigns we deployed to counter competitive pressures from DBS, and to pay commissions to our marketing personnel. We expect to maintain this level of marketing expense going forward. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses were 17.2% for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to 16.7% for the year ended December 31, 2002.

We expect continued growth in advanced services, which include digital cable and high-speed Internet access and, in late 2004, our launch of VoIP telephony service. As a result, we expect our cost of services and selling, general and administrative expenses to increase.

Management fee expense reflects charges incurred under our management agreements with our parent, Mediacom Communications Corporation (“MCC”). Our management fee expense was \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 as compared to \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease was due to the recognition of \$5.3 million of non-cash stock charges during the year ended December 31, 2002, offset by greater overhead costs charged by MCC during the year period ended December 31, 2003. These non-cash charges represented vesting in equity interests granted to certain members of MCC’s management team. As a percentage of revenues, management fee expense was 1.7% for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared with 2.7% for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Depreciation and amortization decreased 18.5% to \$158.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to \$194.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The decrease was primarily due to changes, effective July 1, 2003, in the estimated useful lives of our cable systems and equipment in conjunction with our recently completed network upgrade and rebuild program. These changes reduced depreciation by \$41.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This decrease was offset in part by increased depreciation for investments to complete our planned network upgrade and rebuild program and ongoing investments to continue the rollout of services such as video-on-demand and high-definition television. We expect depreciation and amortization to decrease in 2004 as a result of the full year impact of the changes in estimated lives and the significant reduction in investments in the cable system upgrade and rebuild program due to the substantial completion of this program in 2003. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements.

Interest expense, net

Interest expense, net, decreased 3.8% to \$98.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to \$102.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This was primarily due to lower market interest rates during the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002, and the expiration during 2003 of certain interest rate exchange agreements with high fixed interest rates. This was partially offset by higher average indebtedness.

Gain on derivative instruments, net

Our stated strategy is to manage our interest expense using a combination of fixed and variable interest rate debt. We enter into interest rate exchange agreements, or interest rate swaps, to fix the interest rate on a portion of our variable rate debt to reduce the potential volatility in our interest expense due to changes in variable market interest rates. As of December 31, 2003 we had interest rate swaps with an aggregate principal amount of \$300.0 million, compared to \$390.0 million as of December 31, 2002. These interest rate swaps are accounted for as fair value hedges of debt instruments as prescribed by SFAS No. 133. The changes in their mark-to-market values are derived from changes in variable market interest rates, and the decrease in their time to maturity. The aggregate change in value is reported as either a noncash gain or loss on derivative instruments, net. Gain on derivative instruments, net, was \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This was primarily due to a smaller decrease in market interest rates during the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2002.

Investment income from affiliate

Investment income from affiliate was \$18.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002. This amount represents the investment income on our \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband LLC. See “Liquidity and Capital Resources”-“Investing Activities.”

[Table of Contents](#)**Other expenses**

Other expenses were \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to \$4.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. Other expenses primarily represent amortization of deferred financing costs and fees on unused credit commitments and for the year ended December 31, 2003 also included a \$1.9 million loss on sales of cable television systems.

Net loss

Due to the factors described above, we generated a net loss of \$42.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2003, as compared to a net loss of \$105.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Year Ended December 31, 2002 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2001

The following table sets forth the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 (dollars in thousands and percentage changes that are not meaningful are marked NM):

	Year Ended December 31,		\$ Change	% Change
	2002	2001		
Revenues	\$ 410,241	\$ 369,275	\$ 40,966	11.1%
Costs and expenses:				
Service costs	152,684	130,473	22,211	17.0
Selling, general and administrative expenses	68,563	63,846	4,717	7.4
Management fee expense	11,108	8,734	2,374	27.2
Depreciation and amortization	194,862	221,645	(26,783)	(12.1)
Operating loss	(16,976)	(55,423)	38,447	(69.4)
Interest expense, net	(102,458)	(93,823)	(8,635)	9.2
Gain (loss) on derivative instruments, net	1,172	(8,441)	9,613	NM
Investment income from affiliate	18,000	8,120	9,880	NM
Other (expense) income	(4,845)	23,885	(28,730)	NM
Net loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	(105,107)	(125,682)	20,575	(16.4)
Cumulative effect of accounting change	—	(1,642)	1,642	NM
Net loss	<u>\$(105,107)</u>	<u>\$(127,324)</u>	<u>\$ 22,217</u>	<u>NM</u>

Revenues

The following table sets forth revenue information for the years ended December 31, 2002, and 2001 (dollars in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,				\$ Change	% Change
	2002		2001			
	Amount	% of Revenues	Amount	% of Revenues		
Video	\$379,770	92.6%	\$355,313	96.2	\$24,457	6.9%
Data	25,718	6.3	9,728	2.7	15,990	164.4
Advertising	4,753	1.1	4,234	1.1	519	12.3
	<u>\$410,241</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$369,275</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$40,966</u>	<u>11.1%</u>

Table of Contents

Revenues increased 11.1% attributable to basic rate increases applied on our video customers, driven in large part by our own programming cost increases and a 113% increase in high-speed data customers.

Video revenues increased 6.9% primarily due to the aforementioned rate increases and to customer growth in our digital cable services, partially offset by a 2.5% decline in basic subscribers.

Data revenues increased 164.4% primarily due to a 113% increase in customers in our high-speed Internet access service from 38,000 to 81,000.

Advertising revenues increased 12.3%, primarily due to a general improvement in local and national advertising markets.

Cost and Expenses

Service costs increased 17.0% due to higher programming expenses, including rate increases by programming suppliers for existing services and the costs of new channel additions, and greater technical employee support and other operating costs directly related to customer growth in our high-speed Internet access services. As a percentage of revenues, service costs were 37.2% for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared with 35.3% for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 7.4% primarily as a result of higher marketing expenses related to our digital and high-speed Internet access services, customer service expenses and billing costs. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses were 16.7% for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared with 17.3% for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Our management fee expense increased 27.2%. This expense included non-cash stock charges of \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared to \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. As a percentage of revenues, management fee expense was 2.7% for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared with 2.4% for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Depreciation and amortization decreased 12.1% and reflected the adoption of SFAS No. 142, effective January 1, 2002, which reduced amortization expense by \$44.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2002, partially offset by depreciation expense associated with our ongoing investments in our cable systems.

Interest expense, net

Interest expense, net, increased 9.2% to \$102.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared to \$93.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. This was due to higher average borrowings under our bank credit facilities, partially offset by lower interest rates on our variable rate debt.

(Gain) loss on derivative instruments, net

Gain on derivative instruments, net, was \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared to loss on derivative instruments, net, of \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. This gain was primarily due to a shorter average time to maturity of our interest rate exchange agreements.

Investment income from affiliate

Investment income from affiliate was \$18.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared to \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. This amount represents the investment income on our \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband LLC. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources"- "Investing Activities."

Other expense (income)

Other expense was \$4.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared to other income of \$23.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Other expense represents fees on unused credit commitments under our bank credit facilities and amortization of deferred financing costs. Other income in 2001 reflected the recognition of the remaining \$30.0 million of deferred revenue resulting from the termination of our contract with SoftNet Systems, partially offset by fees on unused credit commitments and amortization of deferred financing costs.

Net loss

Due to the factors described above, we generated a net loss of \$105.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002, as compared to a net loss of \$127.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As an integral part of our business plan, we have significantly invested, and will continue to invest, additional capital in our cable network to enhance its reliability and capacity, which will allow for the introduction of new advanced broadband services. We also will continue to pursue a business strategy that includes selective acquisitions. We expect to fund our capital requirements through a combination of internally generated funds, and amounts available under our bank credit facilities.

Operating Activities

Net cash flows provided by operating activities increased 77.5%, or \$52.3 million, to \$119.7 million, reflecting a significant reduction in our net loss and a \$4.3 million reduction in working capital accounts, mostly attributable to an increase in our accounts payable and accrued expenses.

Investing Activities

Net cash flows used in investing activities consist principally of investments in our cable network. During 2003, net cash flows used in investing activities decreased by \$77.3 million, or 43.8% to \$99.3 million. The decrease reflected significantly lower capital expenditures in 2003 due to the completion of our planned network upgrade and rebuild program.

Our capital expenditures were \$109.2 million (including \$3.3 million of capital expenditures financed through capital leases), \$167.8 million and \$244.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. As of December 31, 2003, as a result of our cumulative capital investment in our network upgrade program, approximately 98% of our cable network was upgraded with 550MHz to 870MHz bandwidth capacity and approximately 96% of our homes passed were activated with two-way communications capability. At year end 2003, our digital cable service was available to about 719,000 basic subscribers, and our cable modem service was marketed to about 1.2 million homes passed by our cable systems.

With the completion of our planned network upgrade program, we expect prospective capital expenditures to consist primarily of the costs of new advanced service installations and equipment, new plant construction and network replacement.

We have a \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband LLC. The preferred equity investment has a 12% annual cash dividend, payable quarterly in cash. For each of the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, we received in aggregate \$18.0 million in cash dividends on the preferred equity.

Financing Activities

Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$27.9 million as compared to net cash flows provided by financing activities of \$122.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively. This reflected a reduction in borrowings as a result of the completion of our planned network upgrade and rebuild program noted above.

We have two bank credit facilities in the aggregate amount of \$1.03 billion, of which \$696.5 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2003. These bank credit facilities expire in September 2008 and December 2008,

Critical Accounting Policies

The foregoing discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Periodically, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to doubtful accounts, long-lived assets, capitalized costs and accruals. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that the application of the critical accounting policies discussed below requires significant judgments and estimates on the part of management. For a summary of our accounting policies, see Note 2 of our consolidated financial statements.

Property, Plant and Equipment

We capitalize the costs of new construction and replacement of our cable transmission and distribution facilities and new cable service installations. Capitalized costs include all direct labor and materials, as well as certain indirect costs, and are based on historical construction and installation costs. Capitalized costs are recorded as additions to property, plant and equipment and depreciate over the life of the related asset. We perform periodic evaluations of the estimates used to determine the amount of costs that are capitalized. Any changes to these estimates, which may be significant, would be applied during the period in which the estimates were subject to change.

Intangibles

Our cable systems operate under non-exclusive franchises granted by state and local governmental authorities for varying lengths of time. We acquired these cable franchises through acquisitions of cable systems and were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. We have concluded that our cable franchise rights have an indefinite useful life since, among other things, there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic or other factors limiting the period over which these cable franchise rights contribute to our revenues. Accordingly, with our adoption of SFAS No. 142, we no longer amortize the cable franchise rights and other indefinite-lived assets. Instead, such assets are tested annually for impairment, or more frequently if impairment indicators arise.

Based on the guidance outlined in Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, issue No. 02-7, we determined that the unit of accounting for testing franchise value for impairment resides at a cable system cluster level. Such level reflects the financial reporting level managed and reviewed by the corporate office (i.e., chief operating decision maker), as well as how we allocated capital resources and utilize the assets. Lastly, the unit reporting level reflects the level at which the purchase method of accounting for our acquisitions was originally recorded. We have three cable system clusters, or reporting units, for the purpose of applying SFAS No. 142.

We assess the fair value of our franchise costs based on a discounted cash flow methodology. If the determined fair value of our franchise costs is less the carrying amount on the financial statements, an impairment charge would be recognized for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of the assets. To test the impairment of the goodwill carried on our financial statements, the fair value of the cable system cluster's tangible and intangible assets (including franchise value) other than goodwill is deducted from the cable system cluster's fair value. The balance represents the fair value of goodwill which is then compared to the carrying value of goodwill to determine if there is any impairment.

Upon adoption of SFAS No. 142, we determined that no impairment of our franchise costs and goodwill existed as of January 1, 2002. Subsequent impairment tests as of September 2002 and 2003 reflected no impairment of our franchise costs and goodwill. Our use of discounted cash flow analyses in determining the fair value of each cable system cluster involves certain assumptions and estimates, which are consistent with the expectations of buyers and sellers of cable systems in determining fair value. Significant impairment in value resulting in impairment charges may result if these estimates and assumptions used in the fair value determination change in the future.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We follow the provisions of SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS No. 144 addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets and

[Table of Contents](#)

provides guidance on classification and accounting for such assets when held for sale or abandonment. There have been no changes in our circumstances that would indicate that we would need to perform an impairment review.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." In general, SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. We adopted SFAS No. 149 on July 1, 2003, and such adoption did not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures in its statement of financial position certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. In accordance with SFAS No. 150, certain financial instruments that embody obligations for the issuer are required to be classified as liabilities. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise shall be effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. We adopted SFAS No. 150 on July 1, 2003, and such adoption did not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

Inflation and Changing Prices

Our systems' costs and expenses are subject to inflation and price fluctuations. Such changes in costs and expenses can generally be passed through to subscribers. Programming costs have historically increased at rates in excess of inflation and are expected to continue to do so. We believe that under the Federal Communications Commission's existing cable rate regulations we may increase rates for cable television services to more than cover any increases in programming. However, competitive conditions and other factors in the marketplace may limit our ability to increase our rates.

Risk Factors

We have a history of net losses.

We have a history of net losses. We reported net losses of \$127.3 million, \$105.1 million and \$42.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. The principal reasons for our prior net losses include the depreciation and amortization expenses associated with our acquisitions, the capital expenditures related to expanding and upgrading our cable systems and interest costs on borrowed money.

We are a holding company with no operations and we depend on our operating subsidiaries for cash to fund our obligations.

As a holding company, we do not have any operations or hold any assets other than our investments in and our advances to our operating subsidiaries. Consequently, our subsidiaries conduct all of our consolidated operations and own substantially all of our consolidated assets. The only source of cash we have to pay interest on, and repay the principal of, our indebtedness and to meet our other obligations is the cash that our subsidiaries generate from their operations and their borrowings. Our subsidiaries are not obligated to make funds available to us. Our subsidiaries' ability to make payments to us will depend upon their operating results and will be subject to applicable laws and contractual restrictions, including the agreements governing our subsidiary credit facilities and other indebtedness. Those agreements permit our subsidiaries to distribute cash to us under certain circumstances, but only so long as there is no default under any of such agreements.

We have substantial existing debt and may incur substantial additional debt, which could adversely affect our ability to obtain financing in the future and require our operating subsidiaries to apply a substantial portion of their cash flow to debt service.

Our total debt as of December 31, 2003 was approximately \$1.5 billion. Our interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2003 was \$98.6 million. We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flows to permit us, or our subsidiaries, to repay indebtedness or that refinancing of that indebtedness will be possible on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

This high level of debt and our debt service obligations could have material consequences, including that:

- our ability to access new sources of financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be limited;
- we may need to use a large portion of our revenues to pay interest on borrowings under our subsidiary credit facilities and our senior notes, which will reduce the amount of money available to finance our operations, capital expenditures and other activities;
- some of our debt has a variable rate of interest, which may expose us to the risk of increased interest rates;
- we may be more vulnerable to economic downturns and adverse developments in our business;
- we may be less flexible in responding to changing business and economic conditions, including increased competition and demand for new products and services;
- we may be at a disadvantage when compared to those of our competitors that have less debt; and
- we may not be able to fully implement our business strategy.

A default under our indentures or our subsidiary credit facilities could result in an acceleration of our indebtedness and other material adverse effects.

The agreements and instruments governing our own and our subsidiaries' indebtedness contain numerous financial and operating covenants. The breach of any of these covenants could cause a default, which could result in the indebtedness becoming immediately due and payable. If this were to occur, we would be unable to adequately finance our operations. In addition, a default could result in a default or acceleration of our other indebtedness subject to cross-default provisions. If this occurs, we may not be able to pay our debts or borrow sufficient funds to refinance them. Even if new financing is available, it may not be on terms that are acceptable to us. The membership interests of our operating subsidiaries are pledged as security under the respective subsidiary credit facilities. A default under one of our subsidiary credit facilities could result in a foreclosure by the lenders on the membership interests pledged under that facility. Because we are dependent upon our operating subsidiaries for all of our revenues, a foreclosure would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The terms of our indebtedness could materially limit our financial and operating flexibility.

Several of the covenants contained in the agreements and instruments governing our own and our subsidiaries' indebtedness could materially limit our financial and operating flexibility by restricting, among other things, our ability and the ability of our operating subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- create liens and other encumbrances;
- pay dividends and make other payments, investments, loans and guarantees;
- enter into transactions with related parties;

Table of Contents

- sell or otherwise dispose of assets and merge or consolidate with another entity;
- repurchase or redeem capital stock, other equity interests or debt;
- pledge assets; and
- issue capital stock or other equity interests.

Complying with these covenants could cause us to take actions that we otherwise would not take or cause us not to take actions that we otherwise would take.

We may not be able to obtain additional capital to continue the development of our business.

We have invested substantial capital for the upgrade, expansion and maintenance of our cable systems and the launch and expansion of new or additional services. While we have completed our planned system upgrades, if there is accelerated growth in our digital cable and data customers, or we decide to introduce new advanced services, or the cost to provide these services increases, we may need to make unplanned additional capital expenditures. We may not be able to obtain the funds necessary to finance additional capital requirements through internally generated funds, additional borrowings or other sources. If we are unable to obtain these funds, we would not be able to implement our business strategy and our results of operations would be adversely affected.

If we are unable to keep pace with technological change, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The cable business is characterized by rapid technological change and the introduction of new products and services. We cannot assure you that we will be able to fund the capital expenditures necessary to keep pace with future technological developments. We also cannot assure you that we will successfully anticipate the demand of our customers for products and services requiring new technology. This type of rapid technological change could adversely affect our ability to maintain or expand our systems and respond to competitive pressures. An inability to maintain and expand our systems and provide advanced services in a timely manner, or to anticipate the demands of the market place, could adversely affect our ability to compete and our results of operations.

If we are unsuccessful in implementing our growth strategy, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We expect that a substantial portion of our future growth in revenues will come from the expansion of relatively new services, the introduction of new ones and acquisitions of additional cable systems. Relatively new services include high-speed Internet access service, digital cable services, video-on-demand, and HDTV. We plan to offer VoIP telephony service to our subscribers beginning with certain markets in the fourth quarter of 2004 and expanding to additional markets in subsequent years. We may not be able to successfully expand existing services or introduce VoIP telephony due to unpredictable technical, operational or regulatory challenges. It is also possible that these services will not generate significant revenue growth. We may not be successful in identifying attractive acquisition targets or obtaining the financing necessary to complete future acquisitions. Among other things, in recent years, the cable television industry has undergone dramatic consolidation, which has reduced the number of future acquisition prospects and may increase the purchase price for any acquisitions we pursue.

Our programming costs are increasing, and our business and results of operations will be adversely affected if we cannot pass through a sufficient part of the additional costs to subscribers.

Our programming costs have been, and are expected to continue to be, one of our largest single expense items. In recent years, the cable and satellite video industries have experienced a rapid increase in the cost of programming, particularly sports programming. This increase in programming costs is expected to continue, and we may not be able to pass all programming cost increases on to our customers. In addition, as we add programming to our basic and expanded basic programming tiers, we may not be able pass all of our costs of the additional programming on to our customers without the potential loss of basic subscribers. To the extent that we are unable to pass increased or additional programming costs through to subscribers, our business and results of operations will be adversely affected.

[Table of Contents](#)

We also expect to be subject to increasing financial and other demands by broadcasters to obtain the required consents for the transmission of broadcast programming to our subscribers. We cannot predict the impact of these negotiations on our business and results of operations or the effect on our subscribers should we be required to suspend the carriage of this programming.

Failure to renew programming contracts could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

From time to time, the contracts covering the programming services carried on our cable systems expire, and we generally provide such services to our customers without written contracts with the respective program suppliers as our manager negotiates contract renewals. While we could obtain access to most of these programming services through a national programming purchasing cooperative or by relying on certain protective provisions of the Communications Act, we are unable to guarantee that we will be able to provide without interruption any programming service that is not covered by a written contract. Prolonged loss of access to certain of these programming services could result in our customers switching to our competitors or have other material adverse effects on our business and results of operations.

We may not be able to compete effectively in the highly competitive media and telecommunications industries.

The communications industry in which we operate is highly competitive and is often subject to rapid and significant changes and developments in the marketplace and in the regulatory and legislative environment. In some instances, we compete against companies with fewer regulatory burdens, easier access to financing, greater resources and operating capabilities, greater brand name recognition and long-standing relationships with regulatory authorities. Our traditional cable television business faces direct competition from other cable companies, municipal-owned utilities, telephone companies, and, most significantly, from DBS service providers. Our high-speed Internet access service is subject to competition from telephone companies using digital subscriber line technology, DBS service providers and other Internet service providers. We also face competition from over-the-air television and radio broadcasters and from other communications and entertainment media such as movie theaters, live entertainment and sports events, newspapers and home video products.

We anticipate that future advances in communications technology and new business combinations and alliances in the communications industry could lead to the introduction of new competitors and products and services that may compete with our businesses. We cannot assure you that our upgraded cable systems will allow us to compete effectively. Additionally, if we expand and introduce new and enhanced telecommunications services, we will be subject to competition from new and established telecommunications providers. We cannot predict the extent to which competition may affect our business and results of operations in the future.

Continued growth of DBS operators could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

DBS service providers have grown at a rate far exceeding the recent cable television industry growth rate and have emerged as the cable industry's most significant competitors. DBS service consists of television programming transmitted via high-powered satellites to individual homes, each served by a small satellite dish. According to recent industry reports, DBS service providers currently deliver video programming services to over 21 million individual households, condominiums, apartments and office complexes in the United States. The two largest DBS companies, DIRECTV, Inc. and EchoStar Communications Corporation, provide service to substantially all of these DBS customers and are each among the four largest providers of multichannel video programming services based on reported customers. The News Corporation Limited, or News Corporation, recently acquired a significant interest in DIRECTV. Affiliation with News Corporation could strengthen DIRECTV's competitive positioning, as News Corporation also owns Fox Television Network and several cable programming services. A recently launched direct broadcast service, VOOOM, has begun offering primarily HDTV services on a national basis.

Table of Contents

Legislation permitting DBS service providers to transmit local broadcast signals was enacted on November 29, 1999. This eliminated a significant competitive advantage that cable system operators had over DBS service providers. DBS service providers deliver local broadcast signals in many markets that we serve. They are developing ways to bring advanced communications services to their customers. They are currently offering satellite-delivered high-speed Internet access services. They, and certain phone companies are also now jointly offering bundled services that include video, voice and high-speed Internet access.

We may not be able to obtain critical items at a reasonable cost or when required, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on third-party suppliers for equipment, software, services and other items that are critical for the operation of our cable systems and the provision of advanced services, including analog and digital set-top converter boxes, servers and routers, fiber-optic cable, telephone circuits, software, the “backbone” telecommunications network for our Internet access service and construction services for expansion and upgrades of our cable systems. These items are available from a limited number of suppliers. Demand for these items has increased with the general growth in demand for Internet and telecommunications services. We typically do not carry significant inventories of equipment. Moreover, if there are no suppliers that are able to provide set-top converter boxes that comply with evolving Internet and telecommunications standards or that are compatible with other equipment and software that we use, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. If we are unable to obtain critical equipment, software, services or other items on a timely basis and at an acceptable cost, our ability to offer our products and services and roll out advanced services may be impaired, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

We depend on our manager for the provision of essential management functions.

We do not have separate senior management and are dependent on our manager for the operation of our business. Our manager also manages Mediacom Broadband’s operating subsidiaries. Following the completion of Mediacom Broadband’s acquisitions of cable systems from AT&T Broadband in June and July 2001, the number of customers served by our manager’s cable systems increased significantly and our manager devotes a significant portion of its personnel and other resources to the management of Mediacom Broadband’s cable systems. As a result, the attention of our manager’s senior executive officers may be diverted from the management of our cable systems and the allocation of resources between our cable systems and Mediacom Broadband’s cable systems could give rise to conflicts of interest.

The successful execution of our business strategy depends on the ability of our manager to efficiently manage our cable systems. If our manager were to experience any material adverse change in its business, the risks described in this risk factor could intensify and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In addition, we are also dependent on our manager to operate Mediacom Broadband’s cable systems effectively in order to enable us to achieve operating synergies, such as the joint purchasing of programming. Mediacom Broadband’s operating subsidiaries have substantial indebtedness that, among other things, could make our manager more vulnerable to economic downturns and to adverse developments in its business. Although our manager charged management fees to our operating subsidiaries in an amount equal to 1.7% of our subsidiaries’ gross operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2003, we cannot assure you that it will not exercise its right under its management agreements with our operating subsidiaries to increase the management fees, which under such agreements may not exceed 4.5% of each subsidiary’s gross operating revenues.

If our manager were to lose key personnel, our business could be adversely affected.

If any of our manager's key personnel ceases to participate in our business and operations, our profitability could suffer. Our success is substantially dependent upon the retention continued performance of our manager's key personnel, including Rocco B. Commisso, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of our manager. Our manager has not entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Commisso. Neither our manager nor we currently maintains key man life insurance on Mr. Commisso or other key personnel.

In addition, our subsidiary credit facilities provide that a default will result if any person or group, other than Mr. Commisso and certain of his affiliates, becomes the beneficial owner of an amount of aggregate voting power of our manager's common stock on a fully-diluted basis that equals or exceeds the greater of: (i) 35% and (ii) the amount of aggregate voting power of our manager's common stock on a fully diluted basis owned by Mr. Commisso and such affiliates at the time.

The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of our manager has the ability to control all major decisions, and a sale of his stock in our manager could result in a change of control that could have unpredictable effects.

Rocco B. Commisso, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of our manager, beneficially owned common stock of our manager representing approximately 80.5% of the combined voting power of all of its common stock as of December 31, 2003. As a result, Mr. Commisso generally has the ability to control the outcome of all matters requiring approval by stockholders of our manager, including the election of its entire board of directors, and Mr. Commisso may be deemed to control our company.

We cannot assure you that Mr. Commisso will maintain all or any portion of his ownership in our manager or that he would continue as an officer or director of our manager if he sold a significant part of his stock. The disposition by Mr. Commisso of a sufficient number of his shares of our manager's stock could result in a change in control of our manager and of us, and we cannot assure you that a change of control would not adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. As noted above, it could also result in a default under our bank credit facilities.

Our cable television business is subject to extensive governmental regulation.

The cable television industry is subject to extensive legislation and regulation at the federal and local levels, and, in some instances, at the state level. Many aspects of such regulation are currently the subject of judicial and administrative proceedings and legislative and administrative proposals. We expect that court actions and regulatory proceedings will continue to refine our rights and obligations under applicable federal, state and local laws. The results of these judicial and administrative proceedings and legislative activities may materially affect our business operations. Local authorities grant us non-exclusive franchises that permit us to operate our cable systems. We renew or renegotiate these franchises from time to time. Local franchising authorities may demand concessions, or other commitments, as a condition to renewal, and these concessions or other commitments could be costly to obtain. The Communications Act contains renewal procedures and criteria designed to protect incumbent franchisees against arbitrary denials of renewal, and although such Act requires the local franchising authorities to take into account the costs of meeting such concessions or commitments, there is no assurance that we will not be compelled to meet their demands in order to obtain renewals. We cannot predict whether any of the markets in which we operate will expand the regulation of our cable systems in the future or the impact that any such expanded regulation may have upon our business.

Similarly, due to the increasing popularity and use of commercial online services and the Internet, it is possible that a number of laws and regulations may be adopted with respect to commercial online services and the Internet. These include laws covering such issues as privacy, access to some types of content by minors, pricing, bulk e-mail or "spam," encryption standards, consumer protection, electronic commerce, taxation of e-commerce, copyright infringement and other intellectual property matters. The adoption of such laws or regulations in the future may decrease the growth of such services and the Internet, which could in turn decrease the demand for our cable modem service, increase our costs of providing such service or have other adverse effects on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our franchises are non-exclusive and local franchising authorities may grant competing franchises in our markets.

Our cable systems are operated under non-exclusive franchises granted by local franchising authorities. As a result, competing operators of cable systems and other potential competitors, such as municipal utility providers, may be granted franchises and may build cable systems in markets where we hold franchises. Any such competition could adversely affect our business. The existence of multiple cable systems in the same geographic area is generally referred to as an “overbuild.” As of the filing date of this report, approximately 6.9% of the homes passed by our cable systems were overbuilt by other cable operators. We cannot assure you that competition from overbuilders will not develop in other markets that we now serve or will serve after any future acquisitions.

Pending FCC and court proceedings could adversely affect our Internet access service.

The legal and regulatory status of providing high-speed Internet access service by cable television companies is uncertain. The adoption of new rules by the FCC or rulings in court proceedings could place additional costs and regulatory burdens on us, reduce our anticipated revenues or increase our anticipated costs for this service, complicate the franchise renewal process, result in greater competition or otherwise adversely affect our business. The FCC has issued a declaratory ruling that cable modem service, as it is currently offered, is properly classified as an interstate information service that is not subject to common carrier regulation. However, the FCC is still considering the following: whether to require cable companies to provide capacity on their systems to other entities to deliver high-speed Internet directly to customers, also known as “open access”; whether certain other regulatory requirements do or should apply to cable modem service; and whether and to what extent cable modem service should be subject to local franchise authorities’ regulatory requirements or franchise fees. There can be no assurance that regulatory authorities will not impose “open access” or similar requirements on us as part of an industry-wide requirement. Such requirements could have a negative impact on our business and results of operations.

We may become subject to additional regulatory burdens when we offer telephony service.

The regulatory obligations of Internet protocol telephony are currently under consideration by the FCC and state authorities. If our planned VoIP telephony service is deemed by regulators to be a telecommunications service or a state-regulated telephone service, such service could subject us to significant regulation as well as higher fees for pole attachments. The additional regulatory requirements could cause us to incur additional costs and we may not be able to meet them in a timely manner.

We may be subject to legal liability because of the acts of our Internet service customers or because of our own negligence.

Our cable modem service enables individuals to access the Internet and to exchange information, generate content, conduct business and engage in various online activities on an international basis. The law relating to the liability of providers of these online services for activities of their users is currently unsettled both within the United States and abroad. Potentially, third parties could seek to hold us liable for the actions and omissions of our cable modem service customers, such as defamation, negligence, copyright or trademark infringement, fraud or other theories based on the nature and content of information that our customers use our service to post, download or distribute. We also could be subject to similar claims based on the content of other Websites to which we provide links or third-party products, services or content that we may offer through our Internet service. Due to the global nature of the Web, it is possible that the governments of other states and foreign countries might attempt to regulate its transmissions or prosecute us for violations of their laws.

It is also possible that information provided directly by us will contain errors or otherwise be negligently provided to users, resulting in third parties making claims against us. For example, we offer Web-based email services, which expose us to potential risks, such as liabilities or claims resulting from unsolicited email, lost or misdirected messages, illegal or fraudulent use of email, or interruptions or delays in email service.

To date, no one has filed such a claim against us. However, in the future someone may file such a claim against us in either a domestic or international jurisdiction and may succeed in imposing liability on us. Our defense of any such actions could be costly and involve significant distraction of our management and other resources. If we are held

[Table of Contents](#)

or threatened with significant liability, we may decide to take actions to reduce our exposure to this type of liability. This may require us to spend significant amounts of money for new equipment and may also require us to discontinue offering some features or our cable modem service.

Since we launched our proprietary Mediacom OnlineSM Internet service in February 2002, we from time to time receive notices of claimed infringements by our cable modem service users. The owners of copyrights and trademarks have been increasingly active in seeking to prevent use of the Internet to violate their rights. In many cases, their claims of infringement are based on the acts of customers of an Internet service provider—for example, a customer's use of an Internet service or the resources it provides to post, download or disseminate copyrighted music or other content without the consent of the copyright owner or to seek to profit from the use of the goodwill associated with another person's trademark. In some cases, copyright and trademark owners have sought to recover damages from the Internet service provider, as well as or instead of the customer. The law relating to the potential liability of Internet service providers in these circumstances is unsettled. In 1996, Congress adopted the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, which is intended to grant ISPs protection against certain claims of copyright infringement resulting from the actions of customers, provided that the ISP complies with certain requirements. So far, Congress has not adopted similar protections for trademark infringement claims.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

In the normal course of business, we manage our interest expense using a combination of fixed and variable interest rate debt. We enter into interest rate exchange agreements, or interest rate swaps, to fix the interest rate on a portion of our variable interest rate debt to reduce the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. As of December 31, 2003, we had interest rate exchange agreements with various banks pursuant to which the interest rate on \$300.0 million is fixed at a weighted average rate of approximately 3.0%, plus the average applicable margin over the eurodollar rate option under our bank credit agreements.

Under the terms of the interest rate exchange agreements, which expire from 2006 through 2007, we are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties. However, due to the high creditworthiness of our counterparties, we do not anticipate their nonperformance. At December 31, 2003, based on the mark-to-market valuation, we would have paid approximately \$3.6 million if we terminated these agreements, inclusive of accrued interest.

The change in the mark-to-market value of our interest rate exchange agreements is reflected as either a noncash gain or loss on derivative instruments, net, in consolidated statement of operations. For the year ended December 31, 2003 we had a net gain of \$4.4 million. We have no plans to change our hedging strategy as outlined above. Future gains or losses on derivative instruments are largely dependent on changes in variable market interest rates, which we cannot predict, and the reduction in the time to maturity of our existing interest rate exchange agreements. All else being equal, as time elapses on our interest rate exchange agreements, the mark-to-market gains or losses we have incurred under our interest rate exchange agreements will reduce, resulting in corresponding losses or gains, respectively, on derivative instruments. If we maintain these agreements to maturity, their ultimate value will be zero, and all gains or losses on derivative instruments, net, recognized to date will have been reversed.

The table below provides the expected maturity and estimated fair value of our debt as of December 31, 2003 (dollars in thousands). See Note 5 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

	<u>Senior Notes</u>	<u>Bank Credit Facilities</u>	<u>Capital Lease Obligations</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Expected Maturity:</u>				
January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004	\$ —	\$ 2,000	\$ 799	\$ 2,799
January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005	—	10,500	825	11,325
January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006	—	155,250	850	156,100
January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007	—	182,000	350	182,350
January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008	200,000	346,750	—	546,750
Thereafter	<u>625,000</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>625,000</u>
Total	<u>\$825,000</u>	<u>\$696,500</u>	<u>\$2,824</u>	<u>\$1,524,324</u>
Fair Value	<u>\$861,376</u>	<u>\$696,500</u>	<u>\$2,824</u>	<u>\$1,560,700</u>
Weighted Average Interest Rate	9.0%	2.7%	3.1%	6.0%

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Auditors-PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	50
Report of Independent Auditors on Financial Statement Schedule-PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.	51
Report of Independent Public Accountants-Arthur Andersen LLP	52
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002	53
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	54
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's (Deficit) Equity and Comprehensive Loss for the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002, and 2001	55
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001	56
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	57
Financial Statement Schedule: Schedule II-Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	67

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Member of Mediacom LLC:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of changes in stockholders' equity, and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mediacom LLC and its subsidiaries (the "Company") at December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. The Company's consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2001, and for the year then ended, were audited by other independent accountants who have ceased operations. Those independent accountants expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements in their report dated February 13, 2002.

As discussed above, the Company's consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2001, and for the year then ended, were audited by other independent accountants who have ceased operations. As described in Note 4, those financial statements have been revised to include the transitional disclosures required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets", which was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2002. We audited the transitional disclosures for 2001 included in Note 4. In our opinion, the transitional disclosures for 2001 in Note 4 are appropriate. However, we were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the 2001 financial statements of the Company other than with respect to such disclosures and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form or assurance on the 2001 financial statements taken as a whole.

As discussed in Notes 2 and 4 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for goodwill effective January 1, 2002.

/s/PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
March 9, 2004

**Report of Independent Auditors
on Financial Statement Schedule**

To the Member of Mediacom LLC:

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements referred to in our report dated March 9, 2004 appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K also included an audit of the financial statement schedule listed in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. In our opinion, this financial statement schedule for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. The financial statement schedule of Mediacom LLC and its subsidiaries for the year ended December 31, 2001, was audited by other independent accountants who have ceased operations. Those independent accountants expressed an unqualified opinion on that financial statement schedule in their report dated February 13, 2002.

/s/PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
March 9, 2004

THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS A COPY OF A REPORT PREVIOUSLY ISSUED BY ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP AND HAS NOT BEEN REISSUED BY ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Member of Mediacom LLC:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Mediacom LLC (a New York limited liability company) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in member's equity and comprehensive loss and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mediacom LLC and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

As explained in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2001, the Company changed its method of accounting for derivative instruments.

Our audits were made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole. Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts is presented for purposes of complying with the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and is not part of the basic consolidated financial statements. This schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic consolidated financial statements and, in our opinion, fairly states in all material respects the financial data required to be set forth therein in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

/s/ ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Stamford, Connecticut
February 13, 2002

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**
(dollars in thousands)

	December 31,	
	2003	2002
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,417	\$ 20,890
Investments	2,288	4,070
Subscriber accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,069 and \$1,106, respectively	24,012	20,709
Prepaid expenses and other assets	26,733	15,256
Total current assets	66,450	60,925
Preferred equity investment in affiliated company	150,000	150,000
Investment in cable television systems:		
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$637,254 and \$498,514, respectively	708,159	748,274
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$235,979 and \$224,669, respectively	570,953	585,144
Total investment in cable television systems	1,279,112	1,333,418
Other assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$15,835 and \$13,044, respectively	19,804	22,897
Total assets	<u>\$1,515,366</u>	<u>\$1,567,240</u>
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER'S DEFICIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 93,831	\$ 80,520
Deferred revenue	16,432	14,890
Current portion of long-term debt	2,799	2,211
Total current liabilities	113,062	97,621
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,521,525	1,546,500
Other non-current liabilities	9,062	8,912
Total liabilities	1,643,649	1,653,033
MEMBER'S DEFICIT		
Capital contributions	548,521	548,521
Accumulated deficit	(676,804)	(634,314)
Total member's deficit	(128,283)	(85,793)
Total liabilities and member's deficit	<u>\$1,515,366</u>	<u>\$1,567,240</u>

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
are an integral part of these statements.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(dollars in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
Revenues	\$452,548	\$ 410,241	\$ 369,275
Costs and expenses:			
Service costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization of \$158,718, \$194,862 and \$221,645, respectively, shown separately below)	169,818	152,684	130,473
Selling, general and administrative expenses	77,908	68,563	63,846
Management fee expense	7,915	11,108	8,734
Depreciation and amortization	158,718	194,862	221,645
Operating income (loss)	38,189	(16,976)	(55,423)
Interest expense, net	(98,596)	(102,458)	(93,823)
Gain (loss) on derivative instruments, net	4,410	1,172	(8,441)
Investment income from affiliate	18,000	18,000	8,120
Other (expense) income	(4,493)	(4,845)	23,885
Net loss before cumulative effect of accounting change	(42,490)	(105,107)	(125,682)
Cumulative effect of accounting change	—	—	(1,642)
Net loss	<u>\$ (42,490)</u>	<u>\$ (105,107)</u>	<u>\$ (127,324)</u>

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
are an integral part of these statements.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN
MEMBER'S (DEFICIT) EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS
(dollars in thousands)

	Capital Contributions	Accumulated Comprehensive Loss	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance, December 31, 2000	\$540,294	\$(414)	\$(276,883)	\$ 262,997
Comprehensive loss:				
Net loss	—	—	(127,324)	
Unrealized gain on investments	—	414	—	
Comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(126,910)
Dividend payment to parent	—	—	(125,000)	(125,000)
Vesting of equity granted to management, net of forfeiture	2,904	—	—	2,904
Balance, December 31, 2001	\$543,198	\$ —	\$(529,207)	\$ 13,991
Net loss	—	—	(105,107)	(105,107)
Vesting of equity granted to management, net of forfeiture	5,323	—	—	5,323
Balance, December 31, 2002	\$548,521	\$ —	\$(634,314)	\$ (85,793)
Net loss	—	—	(42,490)	(42,490)
Balance, December 31, 2003	\$548,521	\$ —	\$(676,804)	\$(128,283)

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
are an integral part of these statements.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(dollars in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2003	2002	2001
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net loss	\$ (42,490)	\$(105,107)	\$(127,324)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	158,718	194,862	221,645
(Gain) loss on investments, net	(4,194)	(1,172)	10,083
Impairment of available-for-sale securities	—	—	329
Vesting of management stock	—	5,323	2,904
Amortization of SoftNet Systems revenue	—	—	(287)
Termination of SoftNet Systems agreement	—	—	(29,957)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,320	3,754	3,984
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:			
Subscriber accounts receivable, net	(3,303)	(1,033)	1,186
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(11,477)	(8,339)	(47,894)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	17,420	(23,512)	71,761
Deferred revenue	1,542	1,486	(2,799)
Other non-current liabilities	150	1,171	(1,440)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	<u>119,686</u>	<u>67,433</u>	<u>102,191</u>
CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Capital expenditures	(105,911)	(167,789)	(244,890)
Acquisitions of cable television systems	(2,327)	(6,548)	—
Proceeds from sale of assets	3,420	—	—
Preferred investment in affiliated company	—	—	(150,000)
Other investment activities	5,536	(2,262)	(4,056)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	<u>(99,282)</u>	<u>(176,599)</u>	<u>(398,946)</u>
CASH FLOWS (USED IN) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
New borrowings	158,038	356,750	941,500
Repayment of debt	(185,688)	(233,750)	(503,000)
Dividend payment	—	—	(125,000)
Financing costs	(227)	(322)	(13,460)
Net cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(27,877)</u>	<u>122,678</u>	<u>300,040</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(7,473)	13,512	3,285
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	20,890	7,378	4,093
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of year	<u>\$ 13,417</u>	<u>\$ 20,890</u>	<u>\$ 7,378</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash during the year for interest, net of amounts capitalized	<u>\$ 98,260</u>	<u>\$ 104,324</u>	<u>\$ 74,839</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Capital expenditures financed through capital leases	<u>\$ 3,263</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
are an integral part of these statements.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Organization

Mediacom LLC (“Mediacom,” and collectively with its subsidiaries, the “Company”), a New York limited liability company wholly-owned by Mediacom Communications Corporation (“MCC”), is involved in the acquisition and operation of cable systems serving smaller cities and towns in the United States.

Mediacom relies on its parent, MCC, for various services such as corporate and administrative support. The financial position, results of operations and cash flows of Mediacom could differ from those that would have resulted had Mediacom operated autonomously or as an entity independent of MCC. See Notes 7 and 12.

Mediacom Capital Corporation, a New York corporation wholly-owned by Mediacom, co-issued public debt securities, jointly and severally, with Mediacom. Mediacom Capital Corporation has no assets (other than a \$100 receivable from affiliate), operations, revenues or cash flows. Therefore, separate financial statements have not been presented for this entity.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Mediacom and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Change in Estimate

Effective July 1, 2003, the Company changed the estimated useful lives of its cable systems and equipment in conjunction with the Company’s recently completed network upgrade and rebuild program. The changes in estimated useful lives were made to reflect management’s evaluation of the longer economic lives of the Company’s upgraded and rebuilt network. The new asset lives are consistent with those used by companies in the cable television industry. The weighted average useful lives of such fixed assets changed from approximately 7 years to approximately 12 years. These changes were made on a prospective basis and resulted in a decrease in net loss of approximately \$41.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Revenue Recognition

Revenues include amounts billed to customers for services provided, installations, advertising and other services. Revenues from video and data services are recognized when the services are provided to the customers. Installation revenues are recognized to the extent of direct installation costs incurred. Advertising sales are recognized in the period that the advertisements are exhibited. Franchise fees are collected on a monthly basis and are periodically remitted to local franchise authorities. Franchise fees collected and paid are reported as revenues and expenses.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company's accounts receivable are comprised of amounts due from subscribers in varying regions throughout the United States. Concentration of credit risk with respect to these receivables is limited due to the large number of customers comprising the Company's customer base and their geographic dispersion. The Company invests its cash with high quality financial institutions.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Additions to property, plant and equipment generally include material, labor and indirect costs. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the following useful lives:

Buildings	40 years
Leasehold improvements	Life of respective lease
Cable systems and equipment and subscriber devices	4 to 20 years
Vehicles	5 years
Furniture, fixtures and office equipment	5 years

The Company capitalizes improvements that extend asset lives and expenses repairs and maintenance as incurred. At the time of retirements, sales or other dispositions of property, the original cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts and the gains and losses are presented as a component of depreciation expense.

The Company capitalizes the costs associated with the construction of cable transmission and distribution facilities, and new cable installations. Costs include direct labor and material, as well as certain indirect costs. The Company performs periodic evaluations of certain estimates used to determine such costs that are capitalized. Any changes to these estimates, which may be significant, are applied in the period in which the evaluations were completed. The costs of disconnecting service at a customer's dwelling or reconnecting to a previously installed dwelling are charged as expense in the period incurred. Costs associated with subsequent installations of additional services not previously installed at a customer's dwelling are capitalized to the extent such costs are incremental and directly attributable to the installation of such additional services.

Intangible Assets

Indefinite-lived intangible assets include goodwill and cable franchise costs and are accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 142 "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The provisions of SFAS No. 142, which were adopted by the Company on January 1, 2002, prohibit the amortization of indefinite-lived intangible assets and goodwill, but require such assets to be tested annually for impairment, or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. The Company has determined that its cable franchise costs and goodwill are indefinite-lived assets. Accordingly, on January 1, 2002, the Company ceased the amortization of its indefinite-lived intangible assets. Other finite-lived intangible assets, which consist primarily of subscriber lists and covenants not to compete, continue to be amortized over their useful lives of 5 years. See Note 4, Intangible Assets.

Other Assets

Other assets, net represent financing costs incurred to raise debt, which are deferred and amortized as other expense over the expected term of such financings.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Segment Reporting

SFAS No. 131, "Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information," requires the disclosure of factors used to identify an enterprise's reportable segments. The Company's operations are organized and managed on the basis of cable system clusters that represent operating segments responsible for certain geographical regions. Each operating segment derives its revenues from the delivery of similar products and services to a customer base that is also similar. Each operating segment deploys similar technology to deliver our products and services and operates within a similar regulatory environment. In addition, each operating segment has similar economic characteristics. Management evaluated the criteria for aggregation of the geographic operating segments under SFAS No. 131 and believes the Company meets each of the respective criteria set forth. Accordingly, management has identified broadband services as the Company's one reportable segment.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments

The Company adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," on January 1, 2001. As a result, the Company recorded an after tax charge of approximately \$1.6 million, as a change in accounting principle, in the first quarter of 2001. The Company's stated strategy is to manage its interest expense using a combination of fixed and variable interest rate debt. The Company enters into interest rate exchange agreements to fix the interest rate on a portion of its variable interest rate debt to reduce the potential volatility in its interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in variable market interest rates.

Accounting for Asset Retirement

The Company adopted SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," on January 1, 2003. SFAS No. 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. The Company reviewed its asset retirement obligations to determine the fair value of such liabilities and if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. This entailed the review of leases covering tangible long-lived assets, as well as the Company's rights-of-way under franchise agreements. In determining the fair value of the Company's asset retirement obligation, consideration was given to the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, which generally entitles the cable operator to the "fair market value" for the cable system covered by a franchise, if renewal is denied and the franchising authority acquires ownership of the cable system or effects a transfer of the cable system to another person.

Upon adoption of SFAS No. 143, the Company determined that in certain instances it is obligated by contractual terms or regulatory requirements to remove facilities or perform other remediation activities upon the retirement of its assets. The aggregate fair value of this liability is immaterial to the Company's consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

Income Taxes

Since the Company is a limited liability company, it is not subject to federal or state income taxes and no provision for income taxes relating to its operations has been reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Income or loss of the limited liability company is reported in MCC's income tax returns.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year's amounts to conform to the current year's presentation.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS*****Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." In general, SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The Company adopted SFAS No. 149 on July 1, 2003, and such adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures in its statement of financial position certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. In accordance with SFAS No. 150, certain financial instruments that embody obligations for the issuer are required to be classified as liabilities. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise shall be effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company adopted SFAS No. 150 on July 1, 2003, and such adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

(3) Property, Plant and Equipment

As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, property, plant and equipment consisted of (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Land and land improvements	\$ 1,470	\$ 1,015
Buildings and leasehold improvements	18,191	16,686
Cable systems, equipment and subscriber devices	1,283,345	1,188,460
Vehicles	29,266	28,541
Furniture, fixtures and office equipment	13,141	12,086
	<u>1,345,413</u>	<u>1,246,788</u>
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(637,254)</u>	<u>(498,514)</u>
Property, plant and equipment, net	<u>\$ 708,159</u>	<u>\$ 748,274</u>

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 was approximately \$147.2 million, \$168.1 million and \$148.3 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company had property under capitalized leases of \$5.4 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, before accumulated depreciation, and \$4.2 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, net of accumulated depreciation.

(4) Intangible Assets

The Company operates its cable systems under non-exclusive cable franchises that are granted by state or local government authorities for varying lengths of time. The Company acquired these cable franchises through acquisitions of cable systems and were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting.

On January 1, 2002, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142, which eliminates amortization of goodwill and certain intangibles that have indefinite lives but requires that such assets be tested for impairment at least annually. Prior to

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

adoption of SFAS No. 142 on January 1, 2002, the Company amortized cable franchises and goodwill over 15 years. The Company evaluated the expected useful life of its cable franchises, also referred to as franchise costs, upon adoption of SFAS No. 142 and determined that all of its cable franchises have an indefinite useful life. As such, the Company ceased amortizing its cable franchises effective January 1, 2002. In determining whether its cable franchises have an indefinite life, the Company considered that (i) there are no legal, regulatory, contractual, competitive, economic or other factors limiting the period over which these cable franchise rights will continue to contribute to the Company's revenues, (ii) the Company has sufficient experience with the local franchising authorities to conclude that franchise renewals can and will be accomplished indefinitely, (iii) the Company has never had a cable franchise right revoked, and has never been denied a franchise renewal, (iv) in the Company's history of renewing franchises, minimal costs have been incurred in the franchise renewal process, (v) the Company has sufficiently upgraded the technological state of its cable systems, (vi) under the 1984 Cable Act, a local franchising authority may not unreasonably withhold the renewal of a cable system franchise, and (vii) valuations of cable franchises by market participants presume that franchise renewals can and will be accomplished indefinitely. The Company will continue to reevaluate the expected life of its cable franchises periodically to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite life.

Based on the guidance of Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 02-7, "Unit for Accounting for Testing Impairment of Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets," the Company determined that the unit of accounting for testing cable franchise value for impairment resides at the cable system cluster level. Such level reflects the financial reporting level managed and reviewed by the corporate office (i.e., chief operating decision maker) as well as how the Company allocates capital resources and utilizes the assets. Lastly, the financial unit level reflects the level at which the purchase method of accounting for the Company's acquisitions were originally recorded. The Company has three cable system clusters, or reporting units, for the purpose of applying SFAS No. 142.

The Company assesses the fair value of its franchise costs based on a discounted cash flow methodology. If the determined fair value of the Company's franchise costs is less than the carrying amount on the financial statements, an impairment charge would be recognized for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of the assets. To test the impairment of the goodwill carried on the Company's financial statements, the fair value of the cable system cluster's tangible and intangible assets (includes franchise costs) other than goodwill is deducted from the cable system cluster's fair value. The balance represents the fair value of goodwill which is then compared to the carrying value of goodwill to determine if there is any impairment. The Company completed its last impairment test in accordance with SFAS No. 142 in September 2003. Such test indicated no impairment of franchise costs or goodwill.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, 2001 to the net loss that would have been reported had franchise cost and goodwill amortization not been recorded as of January 1, 2001:

	2003	2002	2001
		(dollars in thousands) (unaudited)	
Reported net loss	\$(42,490)	\$(105,107)	\$(127,324)
Add back: goodwill and franchise cost amortization	—	—	44,664
Adjusted pro forma net loss	\$(42,490)	\$(105,107)	\$ (82,660)

The following table summarizes the net asset value for each intangible asset category as of December 31, 2003 and 2002 (dollars in thousands):

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2003	Gross Asset Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Asset Value
Franchise costs	\$653,461	\$102,415	\$551,046
Goodwill	13,884	2,682	11,202
Subscriber lists	133,892	125,405	8,487
Covenants not to compete	5,695	5,477	218
	<u>\$806,932</u>	<u>\$235,979</u>	<u>\$570,953</u>
2002	Gross Asset Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Asset Value
Franchise costs	\$656,268	\$103,026	\$553,242
Goodwill	13,699	2,682	11,017
Subscriber lists	134,151	113,652	20,499
Covenants not to compete	5,695	5,309	386
	<u>\$809,813</u>	<u>\$224,669</u>	<u>\$585,144</u>

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 was approximately \$11.5 million, \$26.8 million and \$73.3 million, respectively. The Company's estimated aggregate amortization expense for 2004 through 2006 is \$8.6 million, \$0.7 million and \$11,000, respectively, after which the assets will be fully amortized.

(5) Debt

As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, debt consisted of:

	2003	2002
	(dollars in thousands)	
Bank credit facilities	\$ 696,500	\$ 723,500
8 1/2% senior notes	200,000	200,000
7 7/8% senior notes	125,000	125,000
9 1/2% senior notes	500,000	500,000
Capital lease obligations	2,824	211
	<u>\$1,524,324</u>	<u>\$1,548,711</u>
Less: current portion	2,799	2,211
Total long-term debt	<u>\$1,521,525</u>	<u>\$1,546,500</u>

Bank Credit Facilities

On September 30, 1999, operating subsidiaries of Mediacom entered into a \$550.0 million senior secured credit facility, consisting of a \$450.0 million reducing revolving credit facility and a \$100.0 million term loan (the "Mediacom USA Credit Agreement"). The revolving credit facility expires on March 31, 2008, and is subject to earlier expiration on June 30, 2007 if Mediacom does not refinance the 8 1/2% Senior Notes by March 31, 2007. The revolving credit facility makes available a maximum commitment amount for a period of up to eight and one-half years, which is subject to quarterly reductions ranging from 1.25% to 17.50% of the original commitment amount. As of December 31, 2003, the maximum commitment amount available under the revolving credit facility was \$416.3 million, and \$232.0 million was outstanding under such facility. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the maximum commitment amount under the revolving credit facility will be reduced by \$67.5 million, or 15% of the

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

original commitment amount. The Mediacom USA Credit Agreement requires mandatory reductions of the revolving credit facility from excess cash flow, as defined therein. The term loan matures on September 30, 2008, and is subject to repayment on September 30, 2007 if Mediacom does not refinance the 8 1/2% Senior Notes by March 31, 2007. The term loan is payable in quarterly installments. As of December 31, 2003, the outstanding debt under the term loan was \$98.5 million. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the term loan will be reduced by \$1.0 million, or 1% of the original amount of the term loan. The Mediacom USA Credit Agreement provides for interest at varying rates based upon various borrowing options and the attainment of certain financial ratios, and for commitment fees of 1/4% to 3/8% per annum on the unused portion of available credit under the reducing revolver credit facility. Interest on outstanding revolver loans is payable at either a eurodollar rate plus a floating percentage ranging from 0.75% to 2.25% or the base rate plus a floating percentage ranging from 0% to 1.25%. Interest on the term loans is payable at either the eurodollar rate plus a floating percentage ranging from 2.50% to 2.75% or the base rate plus a floating percentage ranging from 1.50% to 1.75%.

On November 5, 1999, operating subsidiaries of Mediacom entered into a \$550.0 million senior secured credit facility, consisting of a \$450.0 million reducing revolving credit facility and a \$100.0 million term loan (the "Mediacom Midwest Credit Agreement", together with the Mediacom USA Credit Agreement, the "Bank Credit Agreements"). The revolving credit facility expires on June 30, 2008, and is subject to earlier expiration on September 30, 2007 if Mediacom does not refinance the 8 1/2% Senior Notes by March 31, 2007. The revolving credit facility makes available a maximum commitment amount for a period of up to eight and one-half years, which is subject to quarterly reductions ranging from 1.25% to 8.75% of the original commitment amount. As of December 31, 2003, the maximum commitment amount available under the revolving credit facility was \$416.3 million, and \$267.3 million was outstanding under such facility. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the maximum commitment amount under the revolving credit facility will be reduced by \$67.5 million, or 15% of the original commitment amount. The Mediacom Midwest Credit Agreement requires mandatory reductions of the revolver facility from excess cash flow, as defined therein. The term loan matures on December 31, 2008, and is subject to repayment on December 31, 2007 if Mediacom does not refinance the 8 1/2% Senior Notes by March 31, 2007. The term loan is payable in quarterly installments. As of December 31, 2003, the outstanding debt under the term loan was \$98.8 million. For the year ended December 31, 2004, the term loan will be reduced by \$1.0 million, or 1% of the original amount of the term loan. The Mediacom Midwest Credit Agreement provides for interest at varying rates based upon various borrowing options and the attainment of certain financial ratios, and for commitment fees of 1/4% to 3/8% per annum on the unused portion of available credit under the reducing revolver credit facility. Interest on the outstanding revolver loans is payable at either a eurodollar rate plus a floating percentage ranging from 0.75% to 2.25% or the base rate plus a floating percentage ranging from 0% to 1.25%. Interest on the term loans is payable at either the eurodollar rate plus a floating percentage ranging from 2.50% to 2.75% or the base rate plus a floating percentage ranging from 1.50% to 1.75%.

The Bank Credit Agreements require compliance with certain financial covenants including, but not limited to, leverage, interest coverage and pro forma debt service coverage ratios, as defined therein. The Bank Credit Agreements also require compliance with other covenants including, but not limited to, limitations on mergers and acquisitions, consolidations and sales of certain assets, liens, the incurrence of additional indebtedness, certain restricted payments, and certain transactions with affiliates. The Company was in compliance with all covenants of the Bank Credit Agreements as of and for all periods in the year ended December 31, 2003.

The Bank Credit Agreements are collateralized by Mediacom's pledge of all its ownership interests in its operating subsidiaries and is guaranteed by Mediacom on a limited recourse basis to the extent of such ownership interests.

The average interest rate on debt outstanding under the Bank Credit Agreements was 2.7% and 3.7% for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively, before giving effect to the interest rate exchange agreements discussed below. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had approximately \$327.5 million of unused bank commitments under the Bank Credit Agreements.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Company uses interest rate exchange agreements in order to fix the interest rate on its floating rate debt. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had entered into interest rate exchange agreements with various banks pursuant to which the interest rate on \$300.0 million is fixed at a weighted average rate of approximately 3.0%, plus the average applicable margin over the eurodollar rate under option the Company's bank credit agreements. Under the terms of the interest rate exchange agreements, which expire from 2006 through 2007, the Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties. However, due to the high creditworthiness of its counterparties, the Company does not anticipate their nonperformance.

The fair value of the interest rate exchange agreements is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate such agreements, taking into account current interest rates, the remaining time to maturities and the current creditworthiness of the Company's counterparties. At December 31, 2003, based on the mark-to-market valuation, the Company would have paid approximately \$3.6 million if it terminated these agreements, inclusive of accrued interest.

Senior Notes

On April 1, 1998, Mediacom and Mediacom Capital, jointly issued \$200.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8 1/2% senior notes due on April 2008 (the "8 1/2% Senior Notes"). The 8 1/2% Senior Notes are unsecured obligations of Mediacom, and the indenture for the 8 1/2% Senior Notes stipulates, among other things, restrictions on incurrence of indebtedness, distributions, mergers and asset sales and has cross-default provisions related to other debt of Mediacom. Mediacom was in compliance with the indenture governing the 8 1/2% Senior Notes as of and for all periods in the year ended December 31, 2003.

On February 26, 1999, Mediacom and Mediacom Capital jointly issued \$125.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7 7/8% senior notes due on February 2011 (the "7 7/8% Senior Notes"). The 7 7/8% Senior Notes are unsecured obligations of Mediacom, and the indenture for the 7 7/8% Senior Notes stipulates, among other things, restrictions on incurrence of indebtedness, distributions, mergers and asset sales and has cross-default provisions related to other debt of Mediacom. Mediacom was in compliance with the indenture governing the 7 7/8% Senior Notes as of and for all periods in the year ended December 31, 2003.

On January 24, 2001, Mediacom and Mediacom Capital jointly issued \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of 9 1/2% senior notes due January 2013 (the "9 1/2% Senior Notes"). The 9 1/2% Senior Notes are unsecured obligations of Mediacom, and the indenture for the 9 1/2% Senior Notes stipulates, among other things, restrictions on incurrence of indebtedness, distributions, mergers and asset sales and has cross-default provisions related to other debt of Mediacom. Mediacom was in compliance with the indenture governing the 9 1/2% Senior Notes as of and for all periods in the year ended December 31, 2003.

Fair Value and Debt Maturities

The fair value of the Company's bank credit facilities approximate the carrying value. The fair value at December 31, 2003 of the 8 1/2% Senior Notes, the 7 7/8% Senior Notes and the 9 1/2% Senior Notes was approximately \$204.0 million, \$125.0 million and \$531.0 million, respectively.

The stated maturities of all debt outstanding as of December 31, 2003 are as follows (dollars in thousands):

2004	\$ 2,799
2005	11,325
2006	156,100
2007	182,350
2008	546,750
Thereafter	625,000
	<u>\$1,524,324</u>

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(6) Related Party Transactions**

Prior to MCC's initial public offering in February 2000, separate management agreements between Mediacom Management Corporation ("Mediacom Management"), a Delaware corporation and each of Mediacom's operating subsidiaries provided for Mediacom Management to be paid compensation for management services performed for the Company. Upon MCC's initial public offering, all management agreements with Mediacom Management were terminated and were replaced with new agreements between MCC and the Company's operating subsidiaries. Under such agreements, MCC is entitled to receive annual management fees in amounts not to exceed 4.5% of the Company's gross operating revenues. Management fees for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, amounted to approximately \$7.9 million, \$5.8 million and \$5.8 million, respectively. Management fee expense also included \$5.3 million and \$2.9 million of non-cash stock charges related to management fee expense for the years ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Mediacom Management holds a 1% direct ownership interest in Mediacom California LLC, which in turn holds a 1% interest in Mediacom Arizona LLC. These ownership interests represent less than 1% of the Company's total revenues. Mediacom Management is wholly-owned by the Chairman and CEO of MCC.

(7) Employee Benefit Plans

Substantially all employees of the Company are eligible to participate in a defined contribution plan pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k) (the "Plan"). Under such Plan, eligible employees may contribute up to 15% of their current pretax compensation. The Plan permits, but does not require, matching contributions and non-matching (profit sharing) contributions to be made by the Company up to a maximum dollar amount or maximum percentage of participant contributions, as determined annually by the Company. The Company presently matches 50% on the first 6% of employee contributions. The Company's contributions under the Plan totaled approximately \$0.6 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001.

(8) Commitments and Contingencies

Under various lease and rental agreements for offices, warehouses and computer terminals, the Company had rental expense of approximately \$2.6 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Future minimum annual rental payments are as follows (dollars in thousands):

2004	\$1,586
2005	1,134
2006	974
2007	770
2008	486
Thereafter	1,385

In addition, the Company rents utility poles in its operations generally under short-term arrangements, but the Company expects these arrangements to recur. Total rental expense for utility poles was approximately \$4.5 million, \$4.1 million and \$3.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

As of December 31, 2003, approximately \$5.7 million of letters of credit were issued in favor of various parties to secure the Company's performance relating to insurance and franchise requirements and pole rentals. The fair value of such letters of credit was not material.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company is a party or to which any of the Company's properties are subject.

(9) American Independence Corp. (formerly "SoftNet Systems, Inc.")

As of January 31, 2001, the Company formally terminated its business relationship with SoftNet Systems, Inc. ("SoftNet") in all material respects. As part of the termination the Company received SoftNet common stock representing 8.7% of aggregate voting power as of January 1, 2001. These shares are reported as investments in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The Company recognized SoftNet-related revenue of approximately \$0.3 million and approximately \$30.0 million of other income in the consolidated statements of operations in 2001. During 2002, SoftNet changed its name to American Independence Corp. ("AMIC"). During 2003, the Company sold portions of its investment in AMIC and recognized a gain on sale of investments of \$1.7 million.

(10) Member's Deficit

As a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCC, the Company's business affairs, including its financing decisions, are directed by MCC. On July 17, 2001, the Company paid a \$125.0 million cash dividend to MCC that was funded with borrowings under its bank credit facilities. (See Note 11).

(11) Preferred Equity Investment

On July 18, 2001, the Company made a \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband LLC, a Delaware limited liability company wholly-owned by MCC, that was funded with borrowings under the Company's bank credit facilities. The preferred equity investment has a 12% annual cash dividend, payable quarterly in cash. For each of the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company received in aggregate \$18.0 million in cash dividends on the preferred equity.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(dollars in thousands)

	<u>Balance at beginning of period</u>	<u>Additions charged to costs and expenses</u>	<u>Deductions</u>	<u>Balance at end of period</u>
December 31, 2001				
Allowance for doubtful accounts				
Current receivables	\$ 932	\$6,349	\$6,186	\$1,095
Acquisition reserves ⁽¹⁾				
Accrued expenses	\$5,382	\$ —	\$5,382	\$ —
December 31, 2002				
Allowance for doubtful accounts				
Current receivables	\$1,095	\$6,776	\$6,765	\$1,106
Acquisition reserves ⁽¹⁾				
Accrued expenses	\$ —	\$ 127	\$ —	\$ 127
December 31, 2003				
Allowance for doubtful accounts				
Current receivables	\$1,106	\$1,943	\$1,980	\$1,069
Acquisition reserves ⁽¹⁾				
Accrued expenses	\$ 127	\$ —	\$ 127	\$ —

⁽¹⁾ Additions were charged in connection with purchase accounting.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

We have previously reported in a current report on Form 8-K, dated April 19, 2002, that we terminated our engagement of Arthur Andersen LLP.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Mediacom LLC

The management of Mediacom LLC (“Mediacom”) carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Mediacom’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of Mediacom’s disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2003. Based upon that evaluation, Mediacom’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Mediacom’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Mediacom in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

There has not been any change in Mediacom’s internal control over financial reporting in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 15d-15(d) under the Exchange Act that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2003 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Mediacom’s internal control over financial reporting.

Mediacom Capital Corporation

The management of Mediacom Capital Corporation (“Mediacom Capital”) carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Mediacom Capital’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of Mediacom Capital’s disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2003. Based upon that evaluation, Mediacom Capital’s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Mediacom Capital’s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Mediacom Capital in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

There has not been any change in Mediacom Capital’s internal control over financial reporting in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 15d-15(d) under the Exchange Act that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2003 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Mediacom Capital’s internal control over financial reporting.

PART III**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANTS**

MCC is our sole member and manager. MCC serves as manager of our operating subsidiaries. The executive officers of Mediacom LLC and the directors and executive officers of MCC and Mediacom Capital are:

Name	Age	Position
Rocco B. Commisso	54	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Mediacom LLC and MCC and President and Chief Executive Officer of Mediacom Capital
Mark E. Stephan	47	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Mediacom LLC and MCC, Director of MCC and Treasurer and Secretary of Mediacom Capital
John G. Pascarelli	42	Executive Vice President, Operations of MCC
Italia Commisso Weinand	50	Senior Vice President, Programming and Human Resources of MCC
Charles J. Bartolotta	49	Senior Vice President, Customer Operations of MCC
Calvin G. Craib	49	Senior Vice President, Business Development of MCC
William I. Lees, Jr	45	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller of MCC
Joseph E. Young	55	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of MCC
Craig S. Mitchell	45	Director of MCC
William S. Morris III	69	Director of MCC
Thomas V. Reifenheiser	68	Director of MCC
Natale S. Ricciardi	55	Director of MCC
Robert L. Winikoff	57	Director of MCC

Rocco B. Commisso has 26 years of experience with the cable television industry and has served as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since founding our predecessor company in July 1995. From 1986 to 1995, he served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a director of Cablevision Industries Corporation. Prior to that time, Mr. Commisso served as Senior Vice President of Royal Bank of Canada's affiliate in the United States from 1981, where he founded and directed a specialized lending group to media and communications companies. Mr. Commisso began his association with the cable industry in 1978 at The Chase Manhattan Bank, where he managed the bank's lending activities to communications firms including the cable industry. He serves on the board of directors and executive committees of the National Cable Television Association and Cable Television Laboratories, Inc., and on the board of directors of C-SPAN. Mr. Commisso holds a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering and a Master of Business Administration from Columbia University.

Mark E. Stephan has 17 years of experience with the cable television industry and has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since November 2003. Prior to that, he was Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since the commencement of our operations in March 1996. Before joining us, Mr. Stephan served as Vice President, Finance for Cablevision Industries from July 1993. Prior to that time, Mr. Stephan served as Manager of the telecommunications and media lending group of Royal Bank of Canada.

Table of Contents

John G. Pascarelli has 23 years of experience in the cable television industry and has served as our manager's Executive Executive Vice President, Operations since November 2003. Prior to that he was our manager's Senior Vice President, Marketing Consumer Services from June 2000 and our manager's Vice President of Marketing from 1998. Before joining our manager in March 1998, Mr. Pascarelli served as Vice President, Marketing for Helicon from January 1996 to February 1998 and as Corporate Director of Marketing for Cablevision Industries from 1988 to 1995. Prior to that time, Mr. Pascarelli served in various marketing and system management capacities for Continental Cablevision, Cablevision Systems and Storer Communications. Mr. Pascarelli is a member of the board of directors of the Cable and Telecommunications Association for Marketing.

Italia Commisso Weinand has 27 years of experience in the cable television industry. Before joining our manager in April 1996, Ms. Weinand served as Regional Manager for Comcast Corporation from July 1985. Prior to that time, Ms. Weinand held various management positions with Tele-Communications, Times Mirror Cable and Time Warner. Ms. Weinand is the sister of Mr. Commisso.

Charles J. Bartolotta has 21 years of experience in the cable television industry. Before joining our manager in October 2000, Mr. Bartolotta served as Division President for AT&T Broadband, LLC from July 1998, where he was responsible for managing an operating division serving nearly three million customers. Prior to that time, he served as Regional Vice President of Telecommunications, Inc. from January 1997 and as Vice President and General Manager for TKR Cable Company from 1989. Prior to that time, Mr. Bartolotta held various management positions with Cablevision Systems Corporation.

Calvin G. Craib has 22 years of experience in the cable television industry. Before joining our manager in April 1999 as Vice President, Business Development, Mr. Craib served as Vice President, Finance and Administration for Interactive Marketing Group from June 1997 to December 1998 and as Senior Vice President, Operations, and Chief Financial Officer for Douglas Communications from January 1990 to May 1997. Prior to that time, Mr. Craib served in various financial management capacities at Warner Amex Cable and Tribune Cable.

William I. Lees, Jr. has over 2 years experience in the cable television industry. Before joining us in October 2001 as Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller, Mr. Lees served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Regus Business Centre Corp., a multinational real estate services company, from July 1999 to September 2001. Prior to that time, he served as Corporate Controller and Director for Formica Corporation from September 1998 to July 1999, and as Chief Financial Officer for Imperial Schrade Corporation from September 1993 to September 1998. He was previously employed for 13 years by Ernst & Young.

Joseph E. Young has 19 years of experience with the cable television industry. Before joining our manager in November 2001 as Senior Vice President and General Counsel, Mr. Young served as Executive Vice President, Legal and Business Affairs, for LinkShare Corporation, an Internet-based provider of marketing services, from September 1999 to October 2001. Prior to that time, he practiced corporate law with Baker & Botts, LLP from January 1995 to September 1999. Previously, Mr. Young was a partner with the Law Offices of Jerome H. Kern and a partner with Shea & Gould.

Craig S. Mitchell has held various management positions with Morris Communications Company LLC for more than the past five years. He currently serves as its Senior Vice President of Finance, Treasurer and Secretary and is also a member of its board of directors.

William S. Morris III has served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Morris Communications for more than the past five years. He was the Chairman of the board of directors of the Newspapers Association of America for 1999-2000.

Thomas V. Reifenhaiser served for more than five years as a Managing Director and Group Executive of the Global Media and Telecom Group of Chase Securities Inc. until his retirement in September 2000. He joined Chase in 1963 and had been the Global Media and Telecom Group Executive since 1977. He also had been a director of the Management Committee of The Chase Manhattan Bank. Mr. Reifenhaiser is a member of the board of directors of Cablevision Systems Corporation and Lamar Advertising Company, a leading owner and operator of outdoor advertising and logo sign displays.

Table of Contents

Natale S. Ricciardi has held various management positions with Pfizer Inc. for more than the past five years. Mr. Ricciardi joined Pfizer in 1972 and currently serves as its Vice President, U.S. Manufacturing, with responsibility for all of Pfizer's U.S. manufacturing facilities.

Robert L. Winikoff has been a partner of the law firm of Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal, LLP since August 2000. Prior thereto, he was a partner of the law firm of Cooperman Levitt Winikoff Lester & Newman, P.C. for more than five years. Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal, LLP currently serves as MCC's outside general counsel and prior to such representation Cooperman Levitt Winikoff Lester & Newman, P.C. served as MCC's outside general counsel since 1995.

Since we are not a listed company, we are not required to establish an audit committee. Rather, the functions of an audit committee for our company are performed by the audit committee of our manager. The audit committee of our manager consists of three directors, all of whom are independent directors as defined by the listing standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market. The current members of the audit committee are Thomas V. Reifenheiser (Chairman), Craig S. Mitchell, and Natale S. Ricciardi. The board of directors of our manager has determined that Mr. Reifenheiser meets the SEC definition of an audit committee financial expert.

The board of directors of our manager has adopted a code of ethics applicable to all of our employees, including our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and chief accounting officer. This code of ethics has been filed as an exhibit to this annual report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The executive officers and directors of MCC are compensated exclusively by MCC and do not receive any separate compensation from Mediacom LLC or Mediacom Capital. MCC acts as our manager and in return receives a management fee.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Mediacom Capital is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mediacom LLC. MCC is the sole member of Mediacom LLC. The address of MCC is 100 Crystal Run Road, Middletown, New York 10941.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Management Agreements

Pursuant to management agreements between MCC and our operating subsidiaries, MCC is entitled to receive annual management fees in amounts not to exceed 4.5% of our gross operating revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2003, MCC received \$8.4 million of such management fees.

Other Relationships

Investment banking firms or their affiliates have in the past engaged in transactions with and performed services for us and our affiliates in the ordinary course of business, including commercial banking, financial advisory and investment banking services. Furthermore, these companies or their affiliates may perform similar services for us and our affiliates in the future. Affiliates of certain of these companies are agents and lenders under our bank credit facilities. The Bank of New York, an affiliate of BNY Capital Markets, Inc., acts as trustee for our senior notes.

On July 18, 2001, we made a \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband LLC that was funded with borrowings under our bank credit facilities. The preferred equity investment has a 12% annual cash dividend, payable quarterly in cash. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we received in aggregate \$18.0 million in cash dividends on the preferred equity.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Fees for professional services provided by our independent auditors in each of the last two fiscal years, in each of the following categories are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Audit fees	\$295	\$258
Audit-related fees	30	—
Total	\$325	\$258

Fees for audit services include fees associated with the annual audit, the reviews of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and assistance with and review of documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Audit-related fees consist of a controls review and related audit consultation.

The audit committee of our manager has adopted a policy that requires advance approval of all audit, audit-related, tax services, and other services performed by our independent auditor. The policy provides for pre-approval by the audit committee of specifically defined audit and non-audit services. Unless the specific service has been previously pre-approved with respect to that year, the audit committee must approve the permitted service before the independent auditor is engaged to perform it.

PART IV**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K****(a) Financial Statements**

Our financial statements as set forth in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K are hereby incorporated by reference.

(b) Exhibits

The following exhibits, which are numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K, are filed herewith or, as noted, incorporated by reference herein:

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
4.1	Indenture relating to 8 1/2% senior notes due 2008 of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation ⁽¹⁾
4.2	Indenture relating to 7 7/8% senior notes due 2011 of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation ⁽²⁾
4.3	Indenture relating to 9 1/2% senior notes due 2013 of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation ⁽³⁾
10.1(a)	Credit Agreement dated as of September 30, 1999 for the Mediacom USA Credit Facility ⁽⁴⁾
10.1(b)	Amendment No. 1 dated December 17, 1999 between Mediacom Southeast LLC, Mediacom California LLC, Mediacom Delaware LLC, Mediacom Arizona LLC and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽³⁾
10.1(c)	Amendment No. 2 dated February 4, 2000 between Mediacom Southeast LLC, Mediacom California LLC, Mediacom Delaware LLC, Mediacom Arizona LLC and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽³⁾
10.1(d)	Amendment No. 3 dated September 12, 2002 between Mediacom Southeast LLC, Mediacom California LLC, Mediacom Delaware LLC, Mediacom Arizona LLC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽⁵⁾
10.2(a)	Credit Agreement dated as of November 5, 1999 for the Mediacom Midwest Credit Facility ⁽⁴⁾
10.2(b)	Amendment No. 2 dated December 17, 1999 between Mediacom Illinois LLC, Mediacom Indiana LLC, Mediacom Iowa LLC, Mediacom Minnesota LLC, Mediacom Wisconsin LLC, Zylstra Communications Corporation and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽³⁾
10.2(c)	Amendment No. 2 dated February 4, 2000 between Mediacom Illinois LLC, Mediacom Indiana LLC, Mediacom Iowa LLC, Mediacom Minnesota LLC, Mediacom Wisconsin LLC, Zylstra Communications Corporation and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽³⁾
10.2(d)	Amendment No. 3 dated September 12, 2002 between Mediacom Illinois LLC, Mediacom Indiana LLC, Mediacom Iowa LLC, Mediacom Minnesota LLC, Mediacom Wisconsin LLC, Zylstra Communications Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, as administrative agent for the lenders ⁽⁵⁾

Table of Contents

10.3	Form of Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement by and among Mediacom Communications Corporation, Rocco B. Commisso, BMO Financial, Inc., CB Capital Investors, L.P., Chase Manhattan Capital, L.P., Morris Communications Corporation, Private Market Fund, L.P. and U.S. Investor, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾
10.4	Fifth Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of Mediacom LLC ⁽⁶⁾
14.1	Code of Ethics
21.1	Subsidiaries of Mediacom LLC ⁽³⁾
23.1	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
23.2	Consent of Arthur Andersen LLP ⁽⁷⁾
31.1	Rule 15d-14(a) Certifications of Mediacom LLC
31.2	Rule 15d-14(a) Certifications of Mediacom Capital Corporation
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom LLC
32.2	Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom Capital Corporation

(c) Financial Statement Schedule

The following financial statement schedule — Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts — is part of this Form 10-K and is on page 67.

(d) Reports on Form 8-K

None.

-
- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-57285) of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
 - (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-85893) of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
 - (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 of Mediacom Communications Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
 - (4) Filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-90879) of Mediacom Communications Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
 - (5) Filed as an exhibit to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 of Mediacom Communications Corporation and incorporated herein by reference.
 - (6) Filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999 of Mediacom LLC and incorporated herein by reference.
 - (7) The consolidated financial statements of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation (the “Registrants”) as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 and for the years then ended included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K which are incorporated by reference into the Registrants’ Registration Statement on Form S-3/A (File Nos. 333-

Table of Contents

82124-01 and 333-82124-04), have been audited by Arthur Andersen LLP, independent public accountants (“AA”). However, after reasonable efforts, the Registrants have been unable to obtain the written consent of AA with respect to the incorporation by reference of such financial statements in the Registration Statement. Therefore, the Registrants have dispensed with the requirement to file the written consent of AA in reliance upon Rule 437a of the Securities Act of 1933. As a result, you may not be able to recover damages from AA under Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, for any untrue statements of material fact or any omissions to state a material fact, if any, contained in the aforementioned financial statements of the Registrants which are incorporated in the Registration Statement by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MEDIACOM LLC

March 26, 2004

By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso

Manager, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Rocco B. Commisso</u> Rocco B. Commisso	Manager, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)	March 26, 2004
<u>/s/ Mark E. Stephan</u> Mark E. Stephan	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)	March 26, 2004

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MEDIACOM CAPITAL CORPORATION

March 26, 2004

By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Rocco B. Commisso</u> Rocco B. Commisso	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer)	March 26, 2004
<u>/s/ Mark E. Stephan</u> Mark E. Stephan	Treasurer and Secretary (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer)	March 26, 2004

CODE OF ETHICS

Mediacom Communications Corporation expects all of its employees, including its principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, as well as the members of its board of directors and the employees of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, to act in accordance with the highest standards of personal and professional integrity in all aspects of their activities, to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations, to deter wrongdoing and to abide by other policies and procedures adopted by Mediacom that govern the conduct of its employees and directors. This Code of Ethics is intended to supplement any other policies and procedures adopted by Mediacom.

You agree to:

- (a) Engage in and promote honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships;
- (b) Take all reasonable measures to protect the confidentiality of non-public information about Mediacom and its subsidiaries and their customers obtained or created in connection with your activities and to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of such information unless required by applicable law or regulation or legal or regulatory process;
- (c) Produce full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that Mediacom and its subsidiaries files with, or submits to, the Securities and Exchange Commission and other regulators and in other public communications made by Mediacom and its subsidiaries;
- (d) Comply with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations, as well as the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations of which Mediacom or its subsidiaries is a member; and
- (e) Promptly report any possible violation of this Code of Ethics to Mediacom's General Counsel, who shall report any apparent violations to the Chairman of Mediacom's Audit Committee.

You are prohibited from directly or indirectly taking any action to fraudulently influence, coerce, manipulate or mislead Mediacom's or its subsidiaries' independent public auditors for the purpose of rendering the financial statements of Mediacom or its subsidiaries misleading.

You understand that you will be held accountable for your adherence to this Code of Ethics. Your failure to observe the terms of this Code of Ethics may result in disciplinary action, up to and including termination of employment. Violations of this Code of Ethics may also constitute violations of law and may result in civil and criminal penalties for you, your supervisors and/or Mediacom.

If you have any questions regarding the best course of action in a particular situation, you should promptly contact Mediacom's General Counsel. You may choose to remain anonymous in reporting any possible violation of this Code of Ethics.

Consent of Independent Accountants

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement on Form S-3/A (File Nos. 333-82124-01 and 333-82124-04) of Mediacom LLC and Mediacom Capital Corporation of our reports dated March 09, 2004 relating to the financial statements and financial statement schedule which is included in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

New York, New York
March 26, 2004

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Rocco B. Commisso, certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Mediacom LLC;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Paragraph omitted pursuant to SEC Release Nos. 33-8238 and 34-47986;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information;
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

March 26, 2004

By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso
Chief Executive Officer

I, Mark E. Stephan, certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Mediacom LLC;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Paragraph omitted pursuant to SEC Release Nos. 33-8238 and 34-47986;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information;
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

March 26, 2004

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan
Chief Financial Officer

I, Rocco B. Commisso, certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Mediacom Capital Corporation;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Paragraph omitted pursuant to SEC Release Nos. 33-8238 and 34-47986;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information;
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

March 26, 2004

By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso
Chief Executive Officer

I, Mark E. Stephan, certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Mediacom Capital Corporation;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Paragraph omitted pursuant to SEC Release Nos. 33-8238 and 34-47986;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information;
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

March 26, 2004

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan
Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Mediacom LLC (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2003 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Rocco B. Commisso, Chief Executive Officer and Mark E. Stephan, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

March 26, 2004

By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan
Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Mediacom Capital Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2003 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Rocco B. Commisso, Chief Executive Officer and Mark E. Stephan, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

March 26, 2004

By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso
Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan
Chief Financial Officer